Focused Monitoring Report



Education Agency: Special Education Coordinator: Focused Monitoring Date: Date Mailed to Coordinator: Special Education Services Team Leader: Special Education Services Data Analyst: Walker County Mrs. Robin Jackson March 19-22, 2012

Ms. Jan Enstrom Ms. Cynthia C. Lester

The Focused Monitoring Process is a blend of compliance monitoring and improving outcomes for students. This report is based on findings from the System Profile Information, Special Education Coordinator's Questionnaire, Student File Review, Student Services Review, and any other information obtained during the on-site visit.

During the Focused Monitoring Process, a designated number of student files were reviewed to verify compliance with state and federal requirements. Also, during the on-site process, a number of students were selected to determine student status and related system performance results. Each SSR provides information to determine if there is a match between the individual needs of the student and the services being provided to the student by the agency.

The purpose of this report is to provide feedback to the agency in identifying findings of noncompliance that must be corrected as soon as possible, and in no case later than one year from identification of noncompliance. The report also identifies the corrective action that must be taken by the agency as well as the documentation that must be submitted to the Alabama State Department of Education (ALSDE). In addition, the report informs the agency of the steps the ALSDE will take in order to ensure 100% correction of noncompliance with the statutory requirement(s).

Walker County Focused Monitoring Report Page 2 of 16

The Focused Monitoring Report will include the following:

- COMMENDATIONS
- STRENGTHS
- SSR RESULTS
- FINDINGS OF NONCOMPLIANCE
- IMMEDIATE CORRECTION STRATEGIES
- IMPROVEMENT STRATEGIES
- DOCUMENTATION OF CORRECTIVE ACTION


GLOSSARY

ΔΔΔ	Alabama Alternate Assessment
	Alabama Administrative Code
ADRS	Alabama Department of Rehabilitation Services
ALSDE	Alabama State Department of Education
AMSTI	Alabama Math, Science and Technology Initiative
ARI	Alabama Reading Initiative
AYP	Adequate Yearly Progress
AOD	Alabama Occupational Diploma
CRS	Children's Rehabilitation Services
CTIP	Career Technical Implementation Plan
DB	Deaf-Blindness
DD	Developmental Delay
ECEC	Environmental, Cultural, and/or Economic Concerns
	Checklist
ED	Emotional Disability
EI	Early Intervention
	English as a Second Language
ESY	Extended School Year
HI	Hearing Impairment
ID	Intellectual Disability
	Individualized Education Program

LEA	Local Education Agency (to include State-Operated/
	State-Supported Agencies)
LEP	Limited English Proficiency
LRE	Least Restrictive Environment
MD	Multiple Disabilities
OHI	Other Health Impairment
OI	Orthopedic Impairment
OT	Occupational Therapy
PST	Problem Solving Team
РТ	Physical Therapy
SES	Special Education Services
SETS	Special Education Tracking System
SLD	Specific Learning Disability
SLI	Speech or Language Impairment
SPDG	State Personnel Development Grant
SSR	Student Services Review
STI	Software Technology Incorporated
TBI	Traumatic Brain Injury
VI	Visual Impairment
VRS	Vocational Rehabilitation Services

SSR Results: (Legend—Maintenance Zone=Optimal/Good Conditions; Refinement Zone=Fair/Borderline Conditions; Improvement Zone=Poor/Adverse Conditions)

The graphs depicting the results of the SSR Reviews are based on a selected number of students with disabilities and should not be interpreted to represent the services as a whole for all students with disabilities in the LEA



FINDINGS OF NONCOMPLIANCE	IMMEDIATE CORRECTION	IMPROVEMEN	T STRATEGIES	DOCUMENTATION OF CORRECTIVE ACTION	
	STRATEGIES (30-Day items)	3-Month Training	6-Month Training	3-Month Training	6-Month Training
Private School The consultation meeting did not address the process developed for proportionate funding to be utilized. AAC 290-8-910(8); 34 CFR § 300.131-141			Convene a meeting or send a letter soliciting input from representative of the private school and parents of children with disabilities placed in a private school.		Provide to the ALSDE documentation of the meeting with representatives of the private schools. The documentation must describe how children with a disability in a private school can participate equitably, how parents teacher, and private school officials will be informed of the process, determining available fund, consultation process
 Protection In Evaluation Procedures The education agency did not conduct a full and individual initial evaluation, before the initial provision of special education and related services to a student with a disability. AAC 290-8-902(1)(c); 34 CFR § 300.301(a) Tests and other evaluation materials were not utilized that provide a variety of assessment tools and strategies to gather relevant functional, developmental and academic information about the child including information provided by the parent. AAC 290-8-902(1)(1); 34 CFR § 300.304(b)(1) 	Convene the IEP Team and review the eligibility of the students discussed during the on-site visit. Reevaluate students as determined appropriate by a review of eligibility. Convene the IEP Team/ Eligibility Committee and determine eligibility for the students who were evaluated as determined appropriate by the review.	 Provide to the appropriate teachers and administrators information, training, and/or technical assistance on the following: The proper completion of the Notice of Proposed Meeting/ Consent for Agency Participation form. The completion of the Alabama Student Assessment forms in the IEP. 		Provide to the ALSDE documentation of the information, training, and/or technical assistance provided including, but not limited to, training agenda/outline and participant sign-in forms. The participant sign-in forms should contain columns for the following: name, position, and school/worksite.	

	IMMEDIATE CORRECTION	IMPROVEMEN	T STRATEGIES	DOCUMENTATION OF CORRECTIVE ACTION		
FINDINGS OF NONCOMPLIANCE	STRATEGIES (30-Day items)	3-Month Training	6-Month Training	3-Month Training	6-Month Training	
Tests and other evaluation materials were not tailored to assess specific areas of educational need and not merely those which are designed to provide a single intelligence quotient. AAC 290-8-902(1)(h); 34 CFR § 300.304(c)(2) The education agency did not assess all areas related to the suspected disability, whether or not commonly linked to the disability category. AAC 290-8-902(1)(f); 34 CFR § 300.304(c)(4)	Use the information in the file to correct the eligibility report by documenting the missing information on the appropriate pages of the <i>Notice and</i> <i>Eligibility Decision Regarding</i> <i>Special Education Services</i> form for the students. Indicate corrected copy and date of correction on the <i>Notice</i> <i>and Eligibility Decision</i>	Ensuring parents are given an opportunity to participate in all meetings when decisions are being made regarding identification, evaluation, placement, and provision of services. Timeline compliance				
Hearing and vision screenings were not provided prior to other initial evaluations. AAC 290-8-903	Regarding Special Education Services form.	and documentation. Overdue eligibility				
In evaluation decisions the education agency did not utilize a variety of assessment tools and strategies used to gather relevant information about the child, including information provided by the parent, and information related to enabling the child to be involved in and	Complete the <i>Notice of Intent</i> <i>Regarding Special Education</i> <i>Services</i> form with explanation regarding omission of the documentation on the eligibility report.	determinations, including any that were overdue at the time of the monitoring visit.				
progress in the general curriculum (or for a preschool child, to participate on appropriate activities. AAC 290-8-902(1)(1); 34 CFR § 300.304(b)(3)(c)(7)	Send a copy of both forms to the parent and/or student. Document the date sent in the	Refining the central office procedures for monitoring compliance to timelines for initial and continued				
In evaluation decisions the education agency, as part of an initial evaluation and as part of any reevaluation, did not utilize an IEP Team including the parent. AAC 290-8-902(1)(d); .05(3)(a); 34 CFR § 300.304(a)(b)(c)(d)	appropriate place on the Notice and Eligibility Decision Regarding Special Education Services form.	eligibility. The evaluation, eligibility, and reevaluation process/				
In evaluation decisions the education agency did not review existing evaluation, such as current classroom- based assessments and observations, data on the child	Provide to the parent/student the Notice of Intent Regarding Special Education Services form with explanation	criteria and requirements for each disability area.				

	IMMEDIATE CORRECTION	IMPROVEMEN	Г STRATEGIES	DOCUMENTATION OF	CORRECTIVE ACTION
FINDINGS OF NONCOMPLIANCE	STRATEGIES (30-Day items)	3-Month Training	6-Month Training	3-Month Training	6-Month Training
 including evaluations, and information provided by the parents of the child. AAC 290-8-902(1)(d)(1); 34 CFR § 300.305(a)(1)(i-iii) In evaluation decisions the team, on the basis of the review and input from the child's parents, did not identify what additional data, if any, was needed to determine if a child has a particular category of disability or whether the child continues to have such a disability. AAC 290-8-902(1)(d)(i); 34 CFR § 300.305(a)(2) In evaluation decisions the education agency did not administer tests and other evaluation materials as may be needed to produce the data needed to determine whether the child has a particular disability. AAC 290-8-902(1)(d)(2)(v); 34 CFR § 300.305(a)(c) Upon completing the administration of tests and other evaluation materials, a team of qualified professionals and the parent of the student did not determine whether the student has a disability. AAC 290-8-904(1); 34 CFR § 300.306(a)(1); .10(c)(2) Upon completing the administration of tests and other evaluation materials, the education agency did not provide a copy of the evaluation report and documentation of determination of eligibility to the parent. AAC 290-8-904(2)(b); 34 CFR § 300.306(a)(2) Upon completing the administration of tests and other evaluation materials, the education agency did not provide a copy of the evaluation report and documentation of determination of eligibility to the parent. 	regarding corrective action taken. Obtain the missing consent form for the students.	 The evaluation process, using appropriate assessment data to determine eligibility. On interpreting evaluation data correctly. The required information that must be documented on the eligibility report. The required Eligibility Committee or IEP Team composition. On providing a copy of the eligibility report to the parent and documenting that a copy was given on the <i>Notice and Eligibility Decision Regarding Special Education Services</i> form. The proper use and completion of notice and consent forms. 			

	IMMEDIATE CORRECTION			DOCUMENTATION OF CORRECTIVE ACTION		
FINDINGS OF NONCOMPLIANCE	STRATEGIES (30-Day items)	3-Month Training	6-Month Training	3-Month Training	6-Month Training	
 include documentation that, if eligible, was not due to the student's lack of instruction in math or reading or LEP. AAC 290-8-904(1)(e); 34 CFR § 300.306(b)(1-2) Upon completing the administration of tests and other evaluation materials, the evaluation team did not include the required members for a child suspected of having a specific learning disability. AAC 290-8-903(10)(b)(2); 34 CFR § 300.321(a) The report did not indicate the child does not achieve adequately for the child's age or meet state-approved grade level standards. AAC 290-8-903(10)(a)(d)(2)(1); 34 CFR § 300.311(a)(5)(i) The required assessments were not administered to each student before the eligibility determination meeting. AAC 290-8-903; 34 CFR § 300.301(a) An evaluation is not conducted every three years for each student with disabilities unless the parent and the education agency agree that a reevaluation is unnecessary. AAC 290-8-902(6)(c); 34 CFR § 300.303(b)(1)(2) Parental consent is not obtained for reevaluation if new assessments are needed to determine continued eligibility. AAC 290-8-902(6)(e); 34 CFR § 300.300(c)(1)(i) 		The reevaluation process. The process of comprehensively reviewing data to make decisions on the need for additional data to determine continued eligibility. The appropriate steps to take to complete the initial evaluation or reevaluation process.				

FINDINGS OF NONCOMPLIANCE	IMMEDIATE CORRECTION	IMPROVEMENT STRATEGIES		DOCUMENTATION OF CORRECTIVE ACTION	
	STRATEGIES (30-Day items)	3-Month Training	6-Month Training	3-Month Training	6-Month Training
If the determination is that no additional data is needed to determine whether the student continues to be a student with a disability, the education agency does not notify the parents of the determination and the reason(s) for it and of the right of the parent to request an assessment to determine continued eligibility. AAC 290-8-902(6)(d); 34 CFR § 300.305(d) Children with disabilities are not included in the general state and district-wide assessment programs with appropriate accommodations and modifications in administration, if necessary. AAC 290-8-902(8); 34 CFR § 300.157 Consent is not obtained prior to conducting an initial evaluation.					
AAC 290-8-902(1)(a); 34 CFR § 300.300(a) Free Appropriate Public Education					
A free appropriate Public education A free appropriate public education is not provided to all students with disabilities ages 3-21, including students who have been suspended or expelled from school, at no cost to parents.AAC 290-8-901(10); 34 CFR § 300.101(a) Eligible students, including students who have been suspended or expelled from school, are not evaluated and an IEP developed within the required timeline. AAC 290-8-902(1)(b); .05(2)(a)(b); 34 CFR § 300.101(a); .301(c)(1)(i); .323(c)(1)	Review the IEPs of the students discussed during the on-site visit.		Provide to special education teachers, general education teachers, administrators, and support personnel, training on Shortened School Day		Provide to the ALSDE documentation of required training including, but not limited to, training agenda/outline and the sign- in forms that include the name, position, and school/worksite of the participants.

	IMMEDIATE CORRECTION	IMPROVEMEN	T STRATEGIES	DOCUMENTATION OF	CORRECTIVE ACTION
FINDINGS OF NONCOMPLIANCE	STRATEGIES (30-Day items)	3-Month Training	6-Month Training	3-Month Training	6-Month Training
Individualized Education Program (IEP)					
 Each student with disabilities does not have an appropriate IEP developed prior to receiving services. AAC 290-8-905(2)(b); 34 CFR § 300.3231(a) Each student with disabilities does not have a current IEP developed. AAC 290-8-905(2)(b); 34 CFR § 300.323(a) Each student with disabilities does not have the IEP developed within thirty days of eligibility determination. AAC 290-8-905(2)(a)(b); 34 CFR § 300.101(b)(2); .323(a) Each student with disabilities does not have the IEP reviewed annually. 	 discussed during the on-site visit. Revise IEPs as determined appropriate by the review. Address the components that were not completed as required. Send a copy of the completed/ amended IEP to the parents of the students. Send the Notice of Intent Regarding Special Education Services form to the parent with 	Provide to the appropriate teachers and administrators information, training, and/or technical assistance on the following: The proper completion of the Notice of Proposed Meeting/Consent for Agency Participation form. The required IEP Team composition.		Provide to the ALSDE documentation of the information, training, and/or technical assistance provided including, but not limited to, training agenda/outline and participant sign-in forms. The participant sign-in forms should contain columns for the following: name, position, and school/worksite.	
 AAC 290-8-905(2)(b); 34 CFR § 300.323(a) Each student with disabilities does not have the IEP implemented at the beginning of the school year. AAC 290-8-905(2)(a)(b); 34 CFR § 300.101(b)(2); .300.323(a) Each student's IEP does not include a student profile, detailing how the student's disability affects the student's involvement and progress in the general curriculum or for preschool children as appropriate, how the disability affects the child's involvement. AAC 290-8-905(6)(a); 34 CFR § 300.320(a)(i)(ii) 	explanation regarding corrective action taken. Document the date sent in the appropriate place on the IEP form. Convene the IEP team to develop a current IEP for the applicable student(s). Develop a progress report reflecting progress toward IEP goals students.	Parental participation in all meetings when decisions are being made regarding identification, evaluation, placement, and provision of services. IEP development that includes completion of the form as well as the process for developing an IEP based on the individual needs of the student. Begin with the			

	IMMEDIATE CORRECTION	IMPROVEMEN	T STRATEGIES	DOCUMENTATION OF CORRECTIVE ACTION		
FINDINGS OF NONCOMPLIANCE	STRATEGIES (30-Day items)	3-Month Training	6-Month Training	3-Month Training	6-Month Training	
 Each student's IEP does not include a statement of measurable annual goals. AAC 290-8-905(6)(b)(o); 34 CFR § 300.320(a)(i)(ii) Each student's IEP is not written to the general education content standards; or Alabama Extended Standards for students with significant cognitive disabilities who are being assessed with the Alabama Alternate Assessment; or Developmental Standards for preschool children with disabilities. AAC 290-8-905(6)(o) Each student's IEP does not include, if required, benchmarks enabling the student to be involved in and progress in the general curriculum. AAC 290-8-905(6)(b); 34 CFR § 300.320(a)(2)(B)(ii) Each student's IEP does not include a statement of the special education and related services and supplementary aids and services or program modifications or supports for school personnel. AAC 290-8-905(6)(c); 34 CFR § 300.320(a)(4) Each student's IEP does not include a statement of any individual modifications in the administration of the state testing program or why that assessment is not appropriate. AAC 290-8-905(6)(e); 34 CFR § 300.320(a)(6)(i) Each student's IEP does not include a statement of the state testing program or why that assessment is not appropriate. 	 (30-Day items) Provide a copy of the progress report to the parent. Review the IEPs and complete the <i>Alabama Student</i> <i>Assessment</i> forms for the students: Send a copy of the revised/amended IEP, including the <i>Alabama Student</i> <i>Assessment</i> forms, to the parent and document the date sent on the signature page of the IEP. Obtain the missing consent form for the students indicated. Complete <i>the Notice of Intent</i> <i>Regarding Special Education</i> <i>Services</i> form with explanation regarding the missing consent form. Meet with the students eligible to explain the transfer of rights and document on the current IEP form the date that the student was informed. 	profile and continue through the delivery and evaluation of services. Specifically 	o-Month Hanning			
student's progress toward annual goals. AAC 290-8-905(6)(g); 34 CFR § 300.320(a)(3)(ii)		providing a copy of the IEP to parents/students.				

	IMMEDIATE CORRECTION	IMPROVEMEN	T STRATEGIES	DOCUMENTATION OF CORRECTIVE ACTION		
FINDINGS OF NONCOMPLIANCE	STRATEGIES (30-Day items)	3-Month Training	6-Month Training	3-Month Training	6-Month Training	
Each student's IEP does not include, beginning with the IEP in effect when the child is 16, and updated annually thereafter, age-appropriate measurable postsecondary goals based upon age-appropriate transition assessments related to training, education, employment, and where appropriate, independent living skills; and the transition services needed to assist the child in reaching those goals. AAC 290-8-9.05(6)(h); 34 CFR § 300.320(7)(b)(1)(2) Each student's IEP does not include extended school year services documentation. AAC 290-8-9.05(9); 34 CFR § 300.106(a)(b) The IEP and/or student's file does not document the involvement in each IEP meeting of the LEA representative. AAC 290-8-905(3)(d); 34 CFR § 300.321(a)(4)(i-iii) The IEP and/or student's file does not document the involvement in each IEP meeting of at least one special education teacher, or if appropriate, at least one special education provider. AAC 290-8-905(3)(c); 34 CFR § 300.321(a)(3) The IEP and/or student's file does not document the involvement in each IEP meeting of one or both parent. AAC 290-8-905(3)(c); 34 CFR § 300.321(a)(1) The IEP and/or student's file does not document the involvement in each IEP meeting of one or both parent. AAC 290-8-905(3)(a); 34 CFR § 300.321(a)(1)		 The completion of the Alabama Student Assessment forms in the IEP. Progress reports that reflect progress toward IEP goals and are provided to the parent according to the schedule in the IEP. Completion of the notice and consent forms. On timeline compliance for implementation of the initial IEP, including implementation of a child's IEP on his/her third birthday if the child transitioned from EI. The process for entering student information into the STISETS program. 				
education teacher of the child. AAC 290-8-905(3)(b); 34 CFR § 300.321(a)(2)						

	IMMEDIATE CORRECTION	IMPROVEMEN	T STRATEGIES	DOCUMENTATION OF CORRECTIVE ACTION	
FINDINGS OF NONCOMPLIANCE	STRATEGIES (30-Day items)	3-Month Training	6-Month Training	3-Month Training	6-Month Training
The IEP and/or student's file does not document the involvement in each IEP meeting of an individual who can interpret the instructional implications of evaluation results. AAC 290-8-905(3)(e); 34 CFR § 300.321(a)(5)					
The IEP and/or student's file does not document the involvement in each IEP meeting of the student, at the age when transition services are discussed, and other times when appropriate. AAC 290-8-905(3)(g); 34 CFR § 300.321(b)(1)(2)					
The IEP and/or student's file does not document the involvement in each IEP meeting of other individuals or agency representatives, as appropriate. AAC 290-8-905(3)(h)(i); 34 CFR § 300.321(b)(3)					
There is no documentation that a copy and/or access to the IEP is provided to regular/special education teacher(s) and other related service provider(s). AAC 290-8-905(7); 34 CFR § 300.323(d)(1)					
There is no documentation that each teacher and provider is informed of his/her specific responsibilities relating to implementing the IEP. AAC 290-8-905(7); 34 CFR § 300.323(d)(2)(i)					
There is no documentation that each teacher and provider is informed of the specific accommodations, modifications, and supports that must be provided in accordance with the IEP. AAC 290-8-905(7); 34 CFR § 300.323(d)(2)(ii)					

FINDINGS OF NONCOMPLIANCE	IMMEDIATE CORRECTION	IMPROVEMEN	T STRATEGIES	DOCUMENTATION OF CORRECTIVE ACTION		
	STRATEGIES (30-Day items)	3-Month Training	6-Month Training	3-Month Training	6-Month Training	
The IEP notice does not include the purpose, time, location, anticipated participants, and inform the parents that they may bring other individuals who have special expertise regarding the child. AAC 290-8-905(b); 34 CFR § 300.322(b)(i)						
For a student with a disability beginning at age 16, or younger, if appropriate, the notice does not include that a purpose of the meeting will be transition and indicate that the student and other agency representatives are invited. AAC 290-8-905(b); 34 CFR § 300.322(b)(2)(i)(A)						
The education agency does not utilize a variety of means to involve the parent in developing the IEP. AAC 290-8-905(c); 34 CFR § 300.322(c)						
The education agency does not document a variety of means to involve the parent in developing the IEP. AAC 290-8-905(d); 34 CFR § 300.322(d)(1)(2)(3)						
The education agency does not provide a copy of the IEP to the parent(s). AAC 290-8-905(f); 34 CFR § 300.322(f)						
Consent is not obtained prior to the initial provision of special education services. AAC 290-8-904(4)(a); 34 CFR § 300.300(b)(1)						

FINDINGS OF NONCOMPLIANCE	IMMEDIATE CORRECTION STRATEGIES (30-Day items)	IMPROVEMENT STRATEGIES		DOCUMENTATION OF CORRECTIVE ACTION	
		3-Month Training	6-Month Training	3-Month Training	6-Month Training
Least Restrictive Environment The student is not educated in the school which he/she would attend if not disabled nor is this justified on the IEP. AAC 290-8-906(1)(d); 34 CFR § 300.116(b)(3)(c)	Convene the IEP Team for students currently receiving services away from the school he/she would attend if not disabled. Determine if the service delivery is appropriate. Justify the need for services on the IEP.	Provide to the appropriate teachers and administrators information, training, and/or technical assistance on the following: Writting justification on Least Restrictive Environmnet		Provide to the ALSDE documentation of the information, training, and/or technical assistance provided including, but not limited to, training agenda/outline and participant sign-in forms. The participant sign-in forms should contain columns for the following: name, position, and school/worksite.	
Procedural Safeguards Documentation available does not show that procedural safeguards were provided to parents upon initial referral, notification of IEP meeting (including parents of students who have reached the age of majority), reevaluation of the student, and when requesting a due process hearing.	Provide a copy of the <i>Special</i> <i>Education Rights</i> to the Parents and maintain documentation the form was received.	Provide to the appropriate teachers and administrators information, training, and/or technical assistance on the Special Education Process		Provide to the ALSDE documentation of the information, training, and/or technical assistance provided including, but not limited to, training agenda/outline and participant sign-in forms. The participant sign-in forms should contain columns for the following: name, position, and school/worksite.	Documentation available does not show that procedural safeguards were provided to parents upon initial referral, notification of IEP meeting (including parents of students who have reached the age of majority), reevaluation of the student, and when requesting a due process hearing.

FINDINGS OF NONCOMPLIANCE	IMMEDIATE CORRECTION STRATEGIES (30-Day items)	IMPROVEMENT STRATEGIES		DOCUMENTATION OF CORRECTIVE ACTION	
		3-Month Training	6-Month Training	3-Month Training	6-Month Training
The education agency inservice program did not make provisions for meeting the needs of special education teachers. 34 CFR § 300.156; .207 The education agency inservice program did not make provisions for meeting the needs of regular education teachers.			Provide to (special education teachers, general education teachers, administrators, related services personnel, and support personnel, Confidentiality, Special		Provide to the ALSDE documentation of required training including, but not limited to, training agenda/outline and the sign- in forms that include the name, position, and school/worksite of the
 34 CFR § 300.156; .207 The education agency inservice program did not make provisions for meeting the needs of support personnel. 34 CFR § 300.156; .207 The education agency inservice program did not make 			Education Process, Shortened School Day, Addressing Disportionality in Alabama, Positive Behavior Supports, and general special education		participants.
provisions for meeting the needs of administrators. 34 CFR § 300.156; .207			issues.		
The education agency inservice program did not make provisions for meeting the needs of related services personnel. 34 CFR § 300.156; .207					
Recovery of Misclassified Funds					
The education agency receiving state and/or federal dollars and is providing special education and related services to students with disabilities did not complete reports as required by the ALSDE? AAC 290-8-910(10); 34 CFR § 300.211		Develop a process and/or procedures for ensuring Time and Effort documentation, as well as documentation of time worked under IDEA funds		Provide to ALSDE a copy of the developed procedures and documentation of the implementation.	

Steps to be taken by the ALSDE to ensure compliance with the Statutory Requirements

Walker County Focused Monitoring Report Page 16 of 16

- 1. For each Immediate Correction Strategy (30-day item), the ALSDE will review corrections on line.
- 2. Sixty calendar days from the date the LEA received notification of the status of the immediate correction strategies, a random sample of updated data will be pulled and reviewed. The education agency must show 100% correction of noncompliance before SES is allowed to clear/close out the focused monitoring process. If the same findings are identified during the review of updated data, the education agency will not show 100% correction of noncompliance and Step 3 will be taken.
- 3. Twenty calendar days from the last review of new/updated data, a random sample of new/updated data will be pulled and reviewed. The education agency must show 100% correction of noncompliance before SES is allowed to clear/close out the focused monitoring process. If the same findings are identified during the review of updated data, the education agency will not show 100% correction of noncompliance and Step 4 will be taken.
- 4. Ten calendar days from the last review of new/updated data, a random sample of new/updated data will be pulled and reviewed. The education agency must show 100% correction of noncompliance before SES is allowed to clear/close out the focused monitoring process. If the same findings are identified during the review of updated data, the education agency will not show 100% correction of noncompliance and the ALSDE will determine what enforcement procedures will be considered.

Enforcement Procedures:

- 1. The Special Education Coordinator will receive a call from the Program Coordinator of Special Education.
- 2. A letter will be written to the Superintendent outlining the seriousness of correction of noncompliance.
- 3. A Compliance agreement will be implemented.
- 4. The Superintendent will be directed to come to the ALSDE and meet with the Director of the Office of Learning Support, Program Coordinator of Special Education, Focused Monitoring Administrator, and the Focused Monitoring Team Leader.
- 5. Withholding of funds procedures may be implemented.