Focused Monitoring Report



Education Agency: Geneva City

Special Education Coordinator: Ms. Erica Wright

Focused Monitoring Date: January 19-22, 2016

Special Education Services Team Leader: Mr. Joe Eiland

Special Education Services Data Analyst: Mrs. Courtney Utsey

The Continuous Improvement Process is a blend of compliance monitoring and improving outcomes for students. This report is based on findings from the Special Education Coordinator's Questionnaire, Student File Review, Student Services Review, and any other information obtained during the on-site visit.

During the Continuous Improvement Process, a designated number of student files were reviewed to verify compliance with state and federal requirements. Also, during the on-site process, a small number of students were selected to determine student status and related system performance results. Each SSR provides information to determine if there is a match between the individual needs of the student and the services being provided to the student by the agency.

The purpose of this report is to provide feedback to the agency in identifying findings of noncompliance that must be corrected as soon as possible, and in no case later than one year from identification of noncompliance. The report also identifies the corrective action that must be taken by the agency as well as the documentation that must be submitted to the Alabama State Department of Education (ALSDE). In addition, the report informs the agency of the steps the ALSDE will take in order to ensure 100% correction of noncompliance with the statutory requirement(s).

Geneva City Focused Monitoring Report Page 2 of 6

The Focused Monitoring Report will include the following:


- SSR RESULTS
- FINDINGS OF NONCOMPLIANCE
- IMMEDIATE CORRECTION STRATEGIES
- IMPROVEMENT STRATEGIES
- DOCUMENTATION OF CORRECTIVE ACTION

GLOSSARY

AAAAlabama Alternate Assessment	IEPIndividualized Education Program
AAC Alabama Administrative Code	LEALocal Education Agency (to include State-Operated/
ADRSAlabama Department of Rehabilitation Services	State-Supported Agencies)
ALSDEAlabama State Department of Education	LEPLimited English Proficiency
AMSTIAlabama Math, Science and Technology Initiative	LRELeast Restrictive Environment
ARIAlabama Reading Initiative	MDMultiple Disabilities
AYPAdequate Yearly Progress	OHIOther Health Impairment
AODAlabama Occupational Diploma	OIOrthopedic Impairment
CRSChildren's Rehabilitation Services	OTOccupational Therapy
CTIPCareer Technical Implementation Plan	PSTProblem Solving Team
DBDeaf-Blindness	PTPhysical Therapy
DDDevelopmental Delay	SESSpecial Education Services
ECECEnvironmental, Cultural, and/or Economic Concerns	SETSSpecial Education Tracking System
Checklist	SLDSpecific Learning Disability
ED Emotional Disability	SLISpeech or Language Impairment
EIEarly Intervention	SPDGState Personnel Development Grant
ESL English as a Second Language	SSRStudent Services Review
ESY Extended School Year	TBITraumatic Brain Injury
HIHearing Impairment	VIVisual Impairment
IDIntellectual Disability	VRSVocational Rehabilitation Services

SSR Results: (Legend—Maintenance Zone=Optimal/Good Conditions; Refinement Zone=Fair/Borderline Conditions; Improvement Zone=Poor/Adverse Conditions)

The graphs depicting the results of the SSR Reviews are based on a selected number of students with disabilities and should not be interpreted to represent the services as a whole for all students with disabilities in the LEA



FINDINGS OF NONCOMPLIANCE	IMMEDIATE CORRECTION	IMPROVEMENT STRATEGIES		DOCUMENTATION OF CORRECTIVE ACTION	
	STRATEGIES (30-Day items)	3-Month Training	6-Month Training	3-Month Training	6-Month Training
Protection In Evaluation Procedures					
The education agency did not conduct a full and individual initial evaluation, before the initial provision of special education and related services to a student with a disability. AAC 290-8-902(1)(c); 34 CFR § 300.301(a)	Convene the IEP Team and review the eligibility of the students discussed during the on-site visit. Reevaluate students as determined appropriate by a review of eligibility. Convene the IEP Team/ Eligibility Committee and determine eligibility for the students who were evaluated as determined appropriate by the review. Use the information in the file to correct the eligibility report by documenting the missing information on the appropriate pages of the Notice and Eligibility Decision Regarding Special Education Services form for the students. Indicate corrected copy and date of correction on the Notice and Eligibility Decision Regarding Special Education Services form.	Provide to the appropriate teachers and administrators information, training, and/or technical assistance on the following: The evaluation, eligibility, and reevaluation process/criteria and requirements for each disability area. The required information that must be documented on the eligibility report.		Provide to the ALSDE documentation of the information, training, and/or technical assistance provided including, but not limited to, training agenda/outline and participant sign-in forms. The participant sign-in forms should contain columns for the following: name, position, and school/worksite.	

	IMMEDIATE CORRECTION	IMPROVEMENT STRATEGIES		DOCUMENTATION OF CORRECTIVE ACTION	
FINDINGS OF NONCOMPLIANCE	STRATEGIES (30-Day items)	3-Month Training	6-Month Training	3-Month Training	6-Month Training
	Complete the Notice of Proposal or Refusal to take Action form with explanation regarding omission of the documentation on the eligibility report. Send a copy of both forms to the parent and/or student. Document the date sent in the appropriate place on the Notice and Eligibility Decision Regarding Special Education Services form. Provide to the parent/student the Notice of Proposal or Refusal to take Action form with explanation regarding corrective action taken.				

Steps to be taken by the ALSDE to ensure compliance with the Statutory Requirements

- 1. For each Immediate Correction Strategy (30-day item), the ALSDE will review corrections on line.
- 2. Sixty calendar days from the date the LEA received notification of the status of the immediate correction strategies, a random sample of updated data will be pulled and reviewed. The education agency must show 100% correction of noncompliance before SES is allowed to clear/close out the focused monitoring process. If the same findings are identified during the review of updated data, the education agency will not show 100% correction of noncompliance and Step 3 will be taken.

- 3. Twenty calendar days from the last review of new/updated data, a random sample of new/updated data will be pulled and reviewed. The education agency must show 100% correction of noncompliance before SES is allowed to clear/close out the focused monitoring process. If the same findings are identified during the review of updated data, the education agency will not show 100% correction of noncompliance and Step 4 will be taken.
- 4. Ten calendar days from the last review of new/updated data, a random sample of new/updated data will be pulled and reviewed. The education agency must show 100% correction of noncompliance before SES is allowed to clear/close out the focused monitoring process. If the same findings are identified during the review of updated data, the education agency will not show 100% correction of noncompliance and the ALSDE will determine what enforcement procedures will be considered.

Enforcement Procedures:

- 1. The Special Education Coordinator will receive a call from the Program Coordinator of Special Education.
- 2. A letter will be written to the Superintendent outlining the seriousness of correction of noncompliance.
- 3. A Compliance agreement will be implemented.
- 4. The Superintendent will be directed to come to the ALSDE and meet with the Director of the Office of Learning Support, Program Coordinator of Special Education, Focused Monitoring Administrator, and the Focused Monitoring Team Leader.
- 5. Withholding of funds procedures may be implemented.