Focused Monitoring Report



Education Agency: Mountain Brook City

Special Education Coordinator: Ms. Shannon Mundy

Focused Monitoring Date: January 17-21, 2012

Special Education Services Team Leader: Mrs. Clare Ward

Special Education Services Data Analyst: Mrs. Courtney Utsey

The Continuous Improvement Process is a blend of compliance monitoring and improving outcomes for students. During Phase I of the Continuous Improvement Process, a designated number of student files were reviewed to verify compliance with state and federal requirements.

Based on Phase I review, a Corrective Action Plan (CAP) is developed. The CAP is implemented by the Local Education Agency (LEA) and compliance is ensured by the Alabama State Department of Education (ALSDE).

The purpose of this report is to provide feedback to the agency in identifying findings of noncompliance that must be corrected as soon as possible, and in no case later than one year from identification of noncompliance. The report also identifies the corrective action that must be taken by the agency as well as the documentation that must be submitted to the ALSDE. In addition, the report informs the agency of the steps the ALSDE will take in order to ensure 100% correction of noncompliance with the statutory requirement(s).

Mountain Brook City Focused Monitoring Report Page 2 of 6

The Focused Monitoring Report will include the following:

- FINDINGS OF NONCOMPLIANCE
- IMMEDIATE CORRECTION STRATEGIES
- IMPROVEMENT STRATEGIES
- DOCUMENTATION OF CORRECTIVE ACTION

GLOSSARY

AAAAlabama Alternate Assessment	IEP Individualized Education Program
AACAlabama Administrative Code	LEALocal Education Agency (to include State-Operated/
ADRSAlabama Department of Rehabilitation Services	State-Supported Agencies)
ALSDE Alabama State Department of Education	LEPLimited English Proficiency
AMSTI Alabama Math, Science and Technology Initiative	LRELeast Restrictive Environment
ARIAlabama Reading Initiative	MDMultiple Disabilities
AYPAdequate Yearly Progress	OHIOther Health Impairment
AODAlabama Occupational Diploma	OIOrthopedic Impairment
CRS Children's Rehabilitation Services	OTOccupational Therapy
CTIPCareer Technical Implementation Plan	PSTProblem Solving Team
DBDeaf-Blindness	PTPhysical Therapy
DDDevelopmental Delay	SESSpecial Education Services
ECECEnvironmental, Cultural, and/or Economic Concerns	SETSSpecial Education Tracking System
Checklist	SLDSpecific Learning Disability
EDEmotional Disability	SLISpeech or Language Impairment
EIEarly Intervention	SPDGState Personnel Development Grant
ESL English as a Second Language	SSRStudent Services Review
ESY Extended School Year	TBITraumatic Brain Injury
HIHearing Impairment	VIVisual Impairment
IDIntellectual Disability	VRSVocational Rehabilitation Services

	IMMEDIATE CORRECTION	IMPROVEMENT STRATEGIES		DOCUMENTATION OF CORRECTIVE ACTION	
FINDINGS OF NONCOMPLIANCE	STRATEGIES (30-Day items)	3-Month Training	6-Month Training	3-Month Training	6-Month Training
Protection In Evaluation Procedures					
The education agency did not conduct a full and individual initial evaluation, before the initial provision of special education and related services to a student with a disability. AAC 290-8-902(1)(c); 34 CFR § 300.301(a)	Convene the IEP Team and review the eligibility of the students discussed during the on-site visit. Reevaluate students as	Provide to the appropriate teachers and administrators information, training, and/or technical assistance on the following:		Provide to the ALSDE documentation of the information, training, and/or technical assistance provided including, but not limited to, training	
Tests and other evaluation materials were not utilized that provide a variety of assessment tools and strategies to gather relevant functional, developmental and academic information about the child including information provided by the parent. AAC 290-8-902(1)(1); 34 CFR § 300.304(b)(1) The education agency did not assess all areas related to the suspected disability, whether or not commonly linked to the disability category. AAC 290-8-902(1)(f); 34 CFR § 300.304(c)(4) In evaluation decisions the education agency did not utilize a variety of assessment tools and strategies used to gather relevant information about the child, including information provided by the parent, and information related to enabling the child to be involved in and progress in the general curriculum (or for a preschool child, to participate on appropriate activities. AAC 290-8-902(1)(l); 34 CFR § 300.304(b)(3)(c)(7)	determined appropriate by a review of eligibility. Convene the IEP Team/ Eligibility Committee and determine eligibility for the students who were evaluated as determined appropriate by the review. Use the information in the file to correct the eligibility report by documenting the missing information on the appropriate pages of the Notice and Eligibility Decision Regarding Special Education Services form for the students. Indicate corrected copy and date of correction on the Notice	The proper completion of the Notice and Invitation to a Meeting/Consent for Agency Participation form. The evaluation, eligibility, and reevaluation process/criteria and requirements for each disability area. The evaluation process, using appropriate assessment data to determine eligibility. The required		agenda/outline and participant sign-in forms. The participant sign-in forms should contain columns for the following: name, position, and school/worksite.	
In evaluation decisions the education agency did not review existing evaluation, such as current classroom-based assessments and observations, data on the child including evaluations, and information provided by the parents of the child.	and Eligibility Decision Regarding Special Education Services form. Complete the Notice of Proposal or Refusal to Take Action form with explanation	information that must be documented on the eligibility report. On providing a copy of the eligibility report to the parent and			

	IMMEDIATE CORRECTION	IMPROVEMENT STRATEGIES		DOCUMENTATION OF CORRECTIVE ACTION	
FINDINGS OF NONCOMPLIANCE	STRATEGIES (30-Day items)	3-Month Training	6-Month Training	3-Month Training	6-Month Training
AAC 290-8-902(1)(d)(1); 34 CFR § 300.305(a)(1)(i-iii) In evaluation decisions the education agency did not administer tests and other evaluation materials as may be needed to produce the data needed to determine whether the child has a particular disability or continues to be a child with a disability. AAC 290-8-902(1)(d)(2)(v); 34 CFR § 300.305(a)(c) The required assessments were not administered to each student before the eligibility determination meeting. AAC 290-8-903; 34 CFR § 300.301(a)	regarding omission of the documentation on the eligibility report. Send a copy of both forms to the parent and/or student. Document the date sent in the appropriate place on the Notice and Eligibility Decision Regarding Special Education Services form. Provide to the parent/student the Notice of Proposal or Refusal to Take Action form with explanation regarding corrective action taken.	documenting that a copy was given on the Notice and Eligibility Decision Regarding Special Education Services form. The process of comprehensively reviewing data to make decisions on the need for additional data to determine continued eligibility. The appropriate steps to take to complete the initial evaluation or reevaluation process.			
Each student's IEP is not written to the general education content standards; or Alabama Extended Standards for students with significant cognitive disabilities who are being assessed with the Alabama Alternate Assessment; or Developmental Standards for preschool children with disabilities. AAC 290-8-905(6)(0) Each student's IEP does not include a statement of the special education and related services and supplementary aids and services or program modifications or supports for school personnel.	Review the IEPs of the students discussed during the on-site visit. Revise IEPs as determined appropriate by the review. Address the components that were not completed as required. Send a copy of the completed/amended IEP to the parents of the students.	Provide to the appropriate teachers and administrators information, training, and/or technical assistance on the following: The proper completion of the Notice of Proposed Meeting/Consent for Agency Participation form.		Provide to the ALSDE documentation of the information, training, and/or technical assistance provided including, but not limited to, training agenda/outline and participant sign-in forms. The participant sign-in forms should contain columns for the following: name, position, and school/worksite.	

	IMMEDIATE CORRECTION	IMPROVEMENT STRATEGIES		DOCUMENTATION OF CORRECTIVE ACTION	
FINDINGS OF NONCOMPLIANCE	STRATEGIES (30-Day items)	3-Month Training	6-Month Training	3-Month Training	6-Month Training
AAC 290-8-905(6)(c); 34 CFR § 300.320(a)(4) Each student's IEP does not include a statement of any individual modifications in the administration of the state testing program or why that assessment is not appropriate. AAC 290-8-905(6)(e); 34 CFR § 300.320(a)(6)(i) Each student's IEP does not include documentation of completed progress notes. AAC 290-8-905(6)(g); 34 CFR § 300.320(a)(3)(ii) The IEP notice does not include the purpose, time, location, anticipated participants, and inform the parents that they may bring other individuals who have special expertise regarding the child. AAC 290-8-905(b); 34 CFR § 300.322(b)(i) The education agency does not provide a copy of the IEP to the parent(s). AAC 290-8-905(f); 34 CFR § 300.322(f) Consent is not obtained prior to the initial provision of special education services. AAC 290-8-904(4)(a); 34 CFR § 300.300(b)(1)	Send the Notice of Proposal or Refusal to Take Action form to the parent with explanation regarding corrective action taken. Document the date sent in the appropriate place on the IEP form. Convene the IEP team to develop a current IEP for the applicable student(s). Develop a progress report reflecting progress toward IEP goals students. Provide a copy of the progress report to the parent. Review the IEPs and complete the Alabama Student Assessment forms for the students: Send a copy of the revised/amended IEP, including the Alabama Student Assessment forms, to the parent and document the date sent on the signature page of the IEP. Obtain the missing consent form for the students indicated.	IEP development that includes completion of the form as well as the process for developing an IEP based on the individual needs of the student. Begin with the profile and continue through the delivery and evaluation of services. Specifically train on all required IEP components. Procedures for providing a copy of the IEP to parents/students. The completion of the Alabama Student Assessment forms in the IEP. Progress reports that reflect progress toward IEP goals and are provided to the parent according to the schedule in the IEP. Completion of the notice and consent forms.			

FINDINGS OF NONCOMPLIANCE	IMMEDIATE CORRECTION	I IMPROVEME		DOCUMENTATION OF CORRECTIVE ACTION	
	STRATEGIES (30-Day items)	3-Month Training	6-Month Training	3-Month Training	6-Month Training
	Complete the Notice of Proposal or Refusal to Take Action form with explanation regarding the missing consent form.	The process for entering student information into the SETS program.			

Steps to be taken by the ALSDE to ensure compliance with the Statutory Requirements

- 1. For each Immediate Correction Strategy (30-day item), the ALSDE will review corrections on line.
- 2. Sixty calendar days from the date the LEA received notification of the status of the immediate correction strategies, a random sample of updated data will be pulled and reviewed. The education agency must show 100% correction of noncompliance before SES is allowed to clear/close out the focused monitoring process. If the same findings are identified during the review of updated data, the education agency will not show 100% correction of noncompliance and Step 3 will be taken.
- 3. Twenty calendar days from the last review of new/updated data, a random sample of new/updated data will be pulled and reviewed. The education agency must show 100% correction of noncompliance before SES is allowed to clear/close out the focused monitoring process. If the same findings are identified during the review of updated data, the education agency will not show 100% correction of noncompliance and Step 4 will be taken.
- 4. Ten calendar days from the last review of new/updated data, a random sample of new/updated data will be pulled and reviewed. The education agency must show 100% correction of noncompliance before SES is allowed to clear/close out the focused monitoring process. If the same findings are identified during the review of updated data, the education agency will not show 100% correction of noncompliance and the ALSDE will determine what enforcement procedures will be considered.

Enforcement Procedures:

- 1. The Special Education Coordinator will receive a call from the Program Coordinator of Special Education.
- 2. A letter will be written to the Superintendent outlining the seriousness of correction of noncompliance.
- 3. A Compliance agreement will be implemented.
- 4. The Superintendent will be directed to come to the ALSDE and meet with the Director of the Office of Learning Support, Program Coordinator of Special Education, Focused Monitoring Administrator, and the Focused Monitoring Team Leader.
- 5. Withholding of funds procedures may be implemented.