# Focused Monitoring Report



Education Agency: Special Education Coordinator: Focused Monitoring Date: Special Education Services Team Leader: Special Education Services Data Analyst:

Roanoke City Mrs. Donna Hodges February 1-4, 2016 Mr. Brian Dunn Mrs. Courtney Utsey

The Continuous Improvement Process is a blend of compliance monitoring and improving outcomes for students. This report is based on findings from the Special Education Coordinator's Questionnaire, Student File Review, Student Services Review, and any other information obtained during the on-site visit.

During the Continuous Improvement Process, a designated number of student files were reviewed to verify compliance with state and federal requirements. Also, during the on-site process, a small number of students were selected to determine student status and related system performance results. Each SSR provides information to determine if there is a match between the individual needs of the student and the services being provided to the student by the agency.

The purpose of this report is to provide feedback to the agency in identifying findings of noncompliance that must be corrected as soon as possible, and in no case later than one year from identification of noncompliance. The report also identifies the corrective action that must be taken by the agency as well as the documentation that must be submitted to the Alabama State Department of Education (ALSDE). In addition, the report informs the agency of the steps the ALSDE will take in order to ensure 100% correction of noncompliance with the statutory requirement(s).

Roanoke City Focused Monitoring Report Page 2 of 6

The Focused Monitoring Report will include the following:

- SSR RESULTS
- FINDINGS OF NONCOMPLIANCE
- IMMEDIATE CORRECTION STRATEGIES
- IMPROVEMENT STRATEGIES
- DOCUMENTATION OF CORRECTIVE ACTION


### GLOSSARY

AAAAlabama Alternate Assessment
AAC Alabama Administrative Code
ADRS Alabama Department of Rehabilitation Services
ALSDE Alabama State Department of Education
AMSTI Alabama Math, Science and Technology Initiative
ARI Alabama Reading Initiative
AYPAdequate Yearly Progress
AOD Alabama Occupational Diploma
CRS Children's Rehabilitation Services
CTIPCareer Technical Implementation Plan
DBDeaf-Blindness
DDDevelopmental Delay
ECEC Environmental, Cultural, and/or Economic Concerns
Checklist
ED Emotional Disability
EIEarly Intervention
ESLEnglish as a Second Language
ESY Extended School Year
HI Hearing Impairment
IDIntellectual Disability

IEPIndividualized Education Program
LEALocal Education Agency (to include State-Operated/
State-Supported Agencies)
LEPLimited English Proficiency
LRELeast Restrictive Environment
MDMultiple Disabilities
OHIOther Health Impairment
OIOrthopedic Impairment
OTOccupational Therapy
PSTProblem Solving Team
PTPhysical Therapy
SESSpecial Education Services
SETSSpecial Education Tracking System
SLDSpecific Learning Disability
SLISpeech or Language Impairment
SPDGState Personnel Development Grant
SSRStudent Services Review
TBITraumatic Brain Injury
VIVisual Impairment
VRSVocational Rehabilitation Services

## SSR Results: (Legend—Maintenance Zone=Optimal/Good Conditions; Refinement Zone=Fair/Borderline Conditions; Improvement Zone=Poor/Adverse Conditions)

The graphs depicting the results of the SSR Reviews are based on a selected number of students with disabilities and should not be interpreted to represent the services as a whole for all students with disabilities in the LEA



	IMMEDIATE CORRECTION	IMPROVEMENT STRATEGIES		DOCUMENTATION OF CORRECTIVE ACTION	
FINDINGS OF NONCOMPLIANCE	STRATEGIES (30-Day items)	3-Month Training	6-Month Training	3-Month Training	6-Month Training
Protection In Evaluation Procedures				_	
Parental consent is not obtained for reevaluation if new assessments are needed to determine continued eligibility. AAC 290-8-902(6)(e); 34 CFR § 300.300(c)(1)(i) If the determination is that no additional data is needed to determine whether the student continues to be a student with a disability, the education agency does not notify the parents of the determination and the reason(s) for it and of the right of the parent to request an assessment to determine continued eligibility. AAC 290-8-902(6)(d); 34 CFR § 300.305(d)	Obtain the missing consent form for the students.	Provide to the appropriate teachers and administrators information, training, and/or technical assistance on the following: The process of comprehensively reviewing data to make decisions on the need for additional data to determine continued eligibility.		Provide to the ALSDE documentation of the information, training, and/or technical assistance provided including, but not limited to, training agenda/outline and participant sign-in forms. The participant sign-in forms should contain columns for the following: name, position, and school/worksite.	
Individualized Education Program (IEP) Each student with disabilities does not have an	Review the IEPs of the	Provide to the appropriate		Provide to the ALSDE	
appropriate IEP developed prior to receiving services.	students discussed during the	teachers and		documentation of the	
AAC 290-8-905(2)(b); 34 CFR § 300.3231(a)	on-site visit.	administrators		information, training,	
Each student's IEP does not include a statement of measurable annual goals. AAC 290-8-905(6)(b)(o); 34 CFR § 300.320(a)(i)(ii)	Revise IEPs as determined appropriate by the review. Address the components that	information, training, and/or technical assistance on the following:		and/or technical assistance provided including, but not limited to, training agenda/outline and participant sign-in forms.	
Each student's IEP does not include a statement of the special education and related services and supplementary aids and services or program modifications or supports for school personnel. AAC 290-8-905(6)(c); 34 CFR § 300.320(a)(4)	were not completed as required. Send a copy of the completed/ amended IEP to the parents of the students.	The proper completion of the Notice of Proposed Meeting/Consent for Agency Participation form.		The participant sign-in forms should contain columns for the following: name, position, and school/worksite.	
Each student's IEP does not include a statement of any individual modifications in the administration	Send the <i>Notice of Proposal or</i> <i>Refusal to take Action</i> form to the parent with explanation				

	IMMEDIATE CORRECTION	IMPROVEMENT STRATEGIES		DOCUMENTATION OF CORRECTIVE ACTION	
FINDINGS OF NONCOMPLIANCE	<b>STRATEGIES</b> (30-Day items)	3-Month Training	6-Month Training	3-Month Training	6-Month Training
of the state testing program or why that assessment is not appropriate. AAC 290-8-905(6)(e); 34 CFR § 300.320(a)(6)(i) Each student's IEP does not include a projected date for the beginning of services and modifications and the anticipated frequency, location, and duration. AAC 290-8-905(6)(f); 34 CFR § 300.320(a)(7) The IEP notice does not include the purpose, time, location, anticipated participants, and inform the parents that they may bring other individuals who have special expertise regarding the child. AAC 290-8-905(b); 34 CFR § 300.322(b)(i)	regarding corrective action taken. Document the date sent in the appropriate place on the IEP form. Convene the IEP team to develop a current IEP for the applicable student(s).	IEP development that includes completion of the form as well as the process for developing an IEP based on the individual needs of the student. Begin with the profile and continue through the delivery and evaluation of services. Specifically train on all required IEP components.			

### Steps to be taken by the ALSDE to ensure compliance with the Statutory Requirements

- 1. For each Immediate Correction Strategy (30-day item), the ALSDE will review corrections on line.
- 2. Sixty calendar days from the date the LEA received notification of the status of the immediate correction strategies, a random sample of updated data will be pulled and reviewed. The education agency must show 100% correction of noncompliance before SES is allowed to clear/close out the focused monitoring process. If the same findings are identified during the review of updated data, the education agency will not show 100% correction of noncompliance and Step 3 will be taken.
- 3. Twenty calendar days from the last review of new/updated data, a random sample of new/updated data will be pulled and reviewed. The education agency must show 100% correction of noncompliance before SES is allowed to clear/close out the focused monitoring process. If the same findings are identified during the review of updated data, the education agency will not show 100% correction of noncompliance and Step 4 will be taken.

Roanoke City Focused Monitoring Report Page 6 of 6

4. Ten calendar days from the last review of new/updated data, a random sample of new/updated data will be pulled and reviewed. The education agency must show 100% correction of noncompliance before SES is allowed to clear/close out the focused monitoring process. If the same findings are identified during the review of updated data, the education agency will not show 100% correction of noncompliance and the ALSDE will determine what enforcement procedures will be considered.

### **Enforcement Procedures:**

- 1. The Special Education Coordinator will receive a call from the Program Coordinator of Special Education.
- 2. A letter will be written to the Superintendent outlining the seriousness of correction of noncompliance.
- 3. A Compliance agreement will be implemented.
- 4. The Superintendent will be directed to come to the ALSDE and meet with the Director of the Office of Learning Support, Program Coordinator of Special Education, Focused Monitoring Administrator, and the Focused Monitoring Team Leader.
- 5. Withholding of funds procedures may be implemented.