CIEP 2021: Beyond the Basics

Dr. Anna Kozlowski
Dr. Amanda Inabinett
Housekeeping

- Meeting will be recorded and may be posted on the ALSDE website.
- Use the chat feature (We will pause periodically for questions and discussions.) Parking Lot
- Professional Development Certificates: Please add your name and institution to the chat box, so we can prepare professional development certificates.
Updates/Reminders

• The backlog is gone.

• Analysis Forms (summer before site visit).

• 2018 standards for Elementary, Social Studies, Physical Education, Physical Education and Health, and Instructional Leadership. Make sure you use the correct form.

• Special Education – We now have separate submission forms for Deaf and Hard of Hearing & Visually Impaired.
The CIEP Process

Key Assessments

Field Experiences

Curriculum
The CIEP Process and Curriculum: Beginning the Journey

Dr. Ahmad M. Alhammouri

Jacksonville State University

Alhammouri, JSU, CIEP.mp4 - Google Drive
Curriculum – Reviewer Feedback

- Shotgun approach
- Repeating indicators

“No thought went into any of it.”

“It’s a lazy way to do it.”

**Education courses provide weak evidence of content knowledge.**
Dr. Derrick Davis
Tuskegee University

Recording #13 (screencast-o-matic.com)
AS 1.1 Understand the major concepts, principles, theories, laws, and interrelationships of their fields of licensure/certification and supporting fields as recommended by the National Science Teachers Association.

Class B Program

• Minimum 2.75 GPA in teaching field for admission, retention, and completion.
• 30/18 in teaching field
• Academic major in teaching field, if required.
• See the standards specific to this teaching field.

ALT A Program

Program admission requirements: (MUST include screening sheet.)

• Praxis
• 30/18
• GPA in teaching field for admission
• Required specific pre-requisite courses

Program requirement

• 12-15 graduate hours in teaching field
• See the standards specific to this teaching field.
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Questions/Discussion for Curriculum
The CIEP Process and Field Experiences: Continuing the Journey: Including Technology

Dr. Lesley Sheek

Judson College

*See Handout #1
The CIEP Process and Field Experiences: Continuing the Journey: Aligning to Standards

Dr. Michele Martin

Huntingdon College
The CIEP Process and Field Experiences: Continuing the Journey: Clear Connections to Coursework

Dr. Angela Stewart

Spring Hill College, Class B Elementary

*See Handout #2
The CIEP Process and Field Experiences: Continuing the Journey: Diverse Learners

Dr. Shirley Farrell

• https://drive.google.com/file/d/10g0Ru4h-WrkcuibjghroNMvQOE2gYwRy/view?usp=sharing

Troy State University, Gifted
### Field Experience Questions:

#### Changes in Field Experience Rubric

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Not Addressed</th>
<th>Partially Addressed</th>
<th>Addressed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Depth and Breadth</strong></td>
<td>Field experiences do not provide opportunities for candidates to engage with students and others in different grade levels and content areas within the specific teaching field.</td>
<td>Field experiences provide some opportunities for candidates to engage with students and others in different grade levels and content areas within the specific teaching field.</td>
<td>Field experiences ensure opportunities for candidates to engage with students and others in different grade levels and content areas within the specific teaching field.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>For candidates to engage with multiple constituencies across areas of responsibilities in appropriate grade levels for the specific instructional support program.</td>
<td>For candidates to engage with multiple constituencies across areas of responsibilities in appropriate grade levels for the specific instructional support program.</td>
<td>For candidates to engage with multiple constituencies across areas of responsibilities in appropriate grade levels for the specific instructional support program.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Diversity</strong></td>
<td>Field experiences provide no opportunities to engage with diverse students (i.e., ability, gender, ELL, socio-economic, race/ethnicity, culture).</td>
<td>Field experiences provide evidence of some opportunities to engage with diverse students (i.e., ability, gender, ELL, socio-economic, race/ethnicity, culture).</td>
<td>Field experiences provide opportunities to engage with diverse students (i.e., ability, gender, ELL, socio-economic, race/ethnicity, culture).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sequence</strong></td>
<td>There is no logical or required sequence.</td>
<td>There is some sequence of field experiences; it is not clear how some experiences build upon each other.</td>
<td>There is a logical, required sequence of field experiences.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Impact on Student Learning</strong></td>
<td>No required activity includes measuring candidates’ impact on student learning.</td>
<td>At least one required activity includes measuring candidates’ impact on student learning.</td>
<td>At least one required activity includes measuring and analyzing candidates’ impact on student learning.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Technology</strong></td>
<td>Field experiences do not require technology for any clear purpose.</td>
<td>Field experiences require using technology for instruction, assessment, or management.</td>
<td>Field experiences require using technology for instruction, assessment, and management.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Development of Knowledge, Skills, and Dispositions</strong></td>
<td>Assignments are not aligned to teaching field standards or instructional support program standards.</td>
<td>Assignments are aligned to some teaching field standards or instructional support program standards.</td>
<td>Assignments are explicitly and accurately aligned to teaching field standards or instructional support program standards.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Our Questions

- Does the criterion on the use of technology for instruction, management, and assessment need revision for our virtual world?
- What should be required for the use of technology in field experiences?
- How have you been engaging in continuous improvements in your field experiences?
- *See Handout #3*
Traditional Class A programs

• May embed, if required, internship throughout the program in lieu of field experiences.
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Questions for Field Experiences
The CIEP Process: Drilling Down

Dr. Janet Bavonese

Jacksonville State University

• https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=xVM4-c16xeE
How to match standards and assessments....

Are you addressing content or pedagogy or both?
### How would you match these? Why?

**Standards**

All Social Studies  
1. Interdisciplinary Approach  
2. Pedagogy for Social Studies  

General Social Studies  
1. Economics  
2. Geography  
3. History  
4. Civics and Government  
5. Geography  

History  
1. Knowledge of History  
2. Abilities for History  

**Key Assessments**

- Key Assessment #1a Praxis  
- Key Assessment #1b edTPA  
- Key Assessment #2 Content Knowledge  
- Key Assessment #3 Planning Instruction  
- Key Assessment #4 Internship  
- Key Assessment #5 Impact on Student Learning
Key Assessments #1a and #1b

• Data tables must be aligned to standards and indicators.

• Programs that are combined at the EPP should include an explanation in the coversheet if the required handbook is different from the name of the program.

• Data from B and ALT A programs must be reported separately. Aggregated data tables are required as teams can’t sort through a ream of ETS or Pearson report pages.
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Questions/Discussion for Key Assessment(s) #1
Key Assessment #2

University of North Alabama

Dr. Jeff Cornelius, Department Chair, Teaching, Learning & Leading

Dr. Chris James, Director of Teacher Education

Dr. Lorie Johnson, Director of Continuous Improvement and Accreditation

- Teacher Leader
  - https://screencast-o-matic.com/watch/creerrWM8J
- See Handout #4b
Setting up a study to determine if the program should have required prerequisites or specified courses in the program?
- If admitted based on Praxis, does the candidate have category scores at the state or national level?
- Which undergraduate courses in the teaching field are most critical?
- What prior coursework in the teaching field do candidates have?
- Programs must submit supporting documentation and not simply an explanation. (Ex: application screen sheet.)
*See Handout #4a
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Questions/Discussion for Key Assessment #2
Key Assessment #3: Planning Instruction

This assessment captures what edTPA does not: the breadth and depth of the teaching field, variety of content specific strategies and assessments.
Key Assessment #3 Planning Instruction

SPA assessments

*See Handout #5
Key Assessment #4: Internship

Reminders/Questions

- It is probably not necessary to submit the EPP's common assessment instrument and data.
- Submit only the addendum and data.
- An internship portfolio based only on teaching field specific standards and indicators could be used.
- Explain who uses the scoring guide (CT, US, both.)
- Data table must match
- How is this working in practice?
- Any “AHA” moments

- SPA Assessment
- See Handout #6
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Questions/Discussion for Key Assessment #4
Key Assessment #5

Effect on Student Learning (Teaching Field)

• Doesn’t have to be mini-edTPA

• What can be done to capture other types of assessments?

• See NCTM #5 and TESOL #5

• SPA Resources http://caepnet.org/accreditation/caep-accreditation/spa-standards-and-report-forms
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Questions/Discussion for Key Assessment #5.
CIEP: Creating a Culture of Evidence, Establishing Ongoing Processes for Continuous Improvement

• Mr. Josh Wooden, University of South Alabama

• Dr. Matt Binion, University of South Alabama

• https://drive.google.com/file/d/1gGTb-x8IA5hMimrSzaF0wINZ1DFwySvS/view?usp=sharing
Key Assessments

Questions/Discussion

• Changes in the rubric

• How can we use common assessments effectively for program-specific purposes?

• What does good data analysis look like?

Key Assessment Rubric

See Handout #7
Key Assessment Reminders

- Explain the data to the reviewers in the coversheet. Example: Does it include retakes?
- Must disaggregate data by program level (B, ALT A, A)
- KA #1 Praxis and edTPA must report aggregated data separated by program level. ETS and Pearson institutional reports are not sufficient.
- KA #1b is edTPA. edTPA is only KA #1b.
- Key Assessment #4 Internship: Explain how the rubric is used. Holistic? Each observation? Tied to artifacts? Scoring?
Submissions Due June 1, 2021

- Submit two hard copies.
- Screen shots are not legible.
- Cross-walks are not helpful.
- Organize clearly.
- Submit 2 flash drives by program.
- PDF documents often are blurry.
How can we best support you?

- Provide informal feedback on parts of submissions in advance?
- Discuss how to meet professionalism standards?
- Answer questions about team reports?
- Discuss how to meet needs of diverse students?
- Zoom meetings?
- Zoom focusing on Analysis Forms? Comprehensive Process? Particular assessments?
We are here to help 😊

Dr. Anna Kozlowski
akozlowski@alsde.edu

Dr. Amanda Inabinett
amanda.inabinett@alsde.edu