APPENDIX B

STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY

Section 207 of the HHFKA amended section 22 of the NSLA (42 U.S.C. 1769c) to require State agencies to report the final results of the administrative review to the public in an accessible, easily understood manner in accordance with guidelines promulgated by the Secretary. Regulations at 7 CFR 210.18(m) requires the State agency to post a summary of the most recent final administrative review results for each school food authority on the State agency's publicly available website no later than 30 days after the State agency provides the final results of the administrative review to the school food authority. The State agency must also make a copy of the final administrative review report available to the public upon request.

School Food Authority Name: Coosa County Board of Education

Date of Administrative Review (Entrance Conference Date): January 22, 2018

Date review results were provided to the School Food Authority: January 25, 2018

Date review summary was publicly posted: July 29, 2019

The review summary must cover access and reimbursement (including eligibility and certification review results), the school food authority's compliance with the meal patterns and the nutritional quality of school meals, the results of the review of the school nutrition environment (including food safety, local school wellness policy, and competitive foods), compliance related to civil rights, and general program participation. At a minimum, this would include the written notification of review findings provided to the school food authority's superintendent or equivalent as required at 7 CFR 210.18(i)(3).

General Program Participation

1. What Child Nutrition Programs does the School Food Authority participate in? (Select all that apply)

   - [X] School Breakfast Program
   - [X] National School Lunch Program
   - Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program
   - Afterschool Snack
   - Special Milk Program
   - Seamless Summer Option

2. Does the School Food Authority operate under any Special Provisions? (Select all that apply)

   - Community Eligibility Provision
   - Special Provision 1
   - Special Provision 2
   - Special Provision 3
Review Findings

3. Were any findings identified during the review of this School Food Authority?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If yes, please indicate the areas and what issues were identified in the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>REVIEW FINDINGS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>A. Program Access and Reimbursement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Finding(s) Details:
1) 

2) 

| X   |   | B. Meal Patterns and Nutritional Quality |
|     |   | YES | NO |
|     |   | X   | Meal Components and Quantities |
|     |   | X   | Offer versus Serve |
|     |   | X   | Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis |

Finding(s) Details:
1) 

2) 

| X   |   | C. School Nutrition Environment |
|     |   | YES | NO |
|     |   | X   | Food Safety |
|     |   | X   | Local School Wellness Policy |
|     |   | X   | Competitive Foods |
|     |   | X   | Other |
Finding(s) Details:

1) The Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Points Plan was not updated to reflect the correct Food Safety Code.

2) The local Wellness Policy did not contain the required elements in accordance with the Healthy, Hunger Free Kids Act of 2010.

3) Vending machines located at the high school were operational during and immediately after the breakfast meal service.

4) The Charge Meal Policy did not meet the requirements of the Alabama State Department of Education and was not followed.

5) The Procurement Plan did not meet regulatory requirements for the National School Lunch Program and the School Breakfast Program.

6) The school food authority did not maintain sufficient oversight of contracted items to ensure terms and conditions complied with contract specifications.

7) The school food authority solicited and executed contracts that did not contain the required Buy American Clause.

8) The Professional Standards Tracking Tool did not contain all of the required elements.

9) The Worthless Check Policy did not meet the requirements of the Alabama State Department of Education.

**D. Civil Rights**

Finding(s) Details:

1) Media release and other outreach documents did not contain correct nondiscrimination statement.

2)