STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY

Section 207 of the HHFKA amended section 22 of the NSLA (42 U.S.C. 1769c) to require State agencies to report the final results of the administrative review to the public in an accessible, easily understood manner in accordance with guidelines promulgated by the Secretary. Regulations at 7 CFR 210.18(m) requires the State agency to post a summary of the most recent final administrative review results for each SFA on the State agency's publicly available website no later than 30 days after the SA provides the final results of the administrative review to the SFA. The SA must also make a copy of the final administrative review report available to the public upon request.

School Food Authority	y Name: Father Po	urcell Memorial dba E	exceptional Children's Center
Date of Administrative	e Review (Entrance Confere	ence Date):	April 18, 2017
Date review results we	ere provided to the School Fo	ood Authority:	June 12, 2017
Date review summary	was publicly posted:	June 12, 2017	
compliance with the me nutrition environment (i civil rights, and general	al patterns and the nutritional including food safety, local sci	quality of school meals, hool wellness policy, an ninimum, this would incl	lity and certification review results), an SFA's the results of the review of the school d competitive foods), compliance related to lude the written notification of review CFR 210.18(i)(3).
General Program Par	ticipation		
1. What Child Nutrition	Programs does the School Fo	ood Authority participate	e in? (Select all that apply)
))		ch Program able Program	
2. Does the School Foo	d Authority operate under any	Special Provisions? (Se	elect all that apply)
	Community Eligibility Special Provision 1 Special Provision 2 Special Provision 3	Provision	

Review Findings

3. Were any find	ings identifie	d during the	review of this Scl	hool Food Authority?
	X	Yes		No

If yes, please indicate the areas and what issues were identified in the table below.

YES	NO	REVIEW FINDINGS			
X		A. Program Access and Reimbursement			
		YES	NO		
			X	Certification and Benefit Issuance	
			X	Verification	
		X		Meal Counting and Claiming	
		Finding(s) D	Finding(s) Details:		
		 Due to calculation errors, fifteen (15) breakfast meals and fou hundred eighty nine (489) lunch meals were overstated on the February 2017 Claim for Reimbursement. 			
	X	B. Meal Patterns and Nutritional Quality			
		YES NO			
			X	Meal Components and Quantities	
			X	Offer versus Serve	
			X	Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis	
		Finding(s) Details: 1)			
		2)			

X		C. School Nutrition Environment		
		YES	NO	
			X	Food Safety
			X	Local School Wellness Policy
			X	Competitive Foods
			X	Other
		Finding(s) Details:		
		1) The non-program food revenue tool or acceptable alternative was not completed.		
		 Father Purcell Memorial dba Exceptional Children's Center did not provide supportive documentation demonstrating that families were informed of the availability and location of SFSP meals. The SFA did not have a Tracking Tool to comply with the Professional Standards. The SFA did not have documentation to support training hours completed or planned by the director, manager and staff. The SFA did not have a Procurement Plan available for review as required by federal regulations. 		
	X	D. Civil Rights		
		Finding(s) D	etails:	
		2)		