## STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY

Section 207 of the HHFKA amended section 22 of the NSLA (42 U.S.C. 1769c) to require State agencies to report the final results of the administrative review to the public in an accessible, easily understood manner in accordance with guidelines promulgated by the Secretary. Regulations at 7 CFR 210.18(m) requires the State agency to post a summary of the most recent final administrative review results for each SFA on the State agency's publicly available website no later than 30 days after the SA provides the final results of the administrative review to the SFA. The SA must also make a copy of the final administrative review report available to the public upon request.

School Food Authority Nam	e: Marshall County Board of Educ	cation
		E.h
Date of Administrative Review	ew (Entrance Conference Date):	February 13, 2017
Data wardare wagulta wana nua	wided to the School Food Authority	February 17, 2017
Date review results were pro	ovided to the School Food Authority:	1 Ebidai y 17, 2017
Date review summary was p	ublicly posted: April 3, 2018	
•	<u> </u>	
SFA's compliance with the me school nutrition environment ( related to civil rights, and gene	ver access and reimbursement (including eligibility all patterns and the nutritional quality of school including food safety, local school wellness poleral program participation. At a minimum, this we SFAs Superintendent or equivalent as required	meals, the results of the review of the licy, and competitive foods), compliance would include the written notification of
General Program Participat	ion	
1. What Child Nutrition Progr	ams does the School Food Authority participate	e in? (Select all that apply)
Х	School Breakfast Program	
X	National School Lunch Program	
	Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program	
X	Afterschool Snack	
	Special Milk Program	
X	Seamless Summer Option	
2. Does the School Food Auth	ority operate under any Special Provisions? (Se	elect all that apply)
	Community Eligibility Provision	
	Special Provision 1	

Special Provision 2 Special Provision 3

## **Review Findings**

3. Were any find	ings identifie	d during the	review of this	School Food Authority?
	X	Yes		No

If yes, please indicate the areas and what issues were identified in the table below.

YES	NO	REVIEW FINDINGS  A. Program Access and Reimbursement		
	X			
		YES	NO	
			X	Certification and Benefit Issuance
			X	Verification
			X	Meal Counting and Claiming
		Finding(s) D	etails:	
		1)		
		2)		
		2)		
	X	2) B.	Meal Pat	terns and Nutritional Quality
	Х	_	Meal Patt	terns and Nutritional Quality
	X	В.		
	X	В.	NO	
	X	В.	NO X	Meal Components and Quantities  Offer versus Serve
	X	В.	X X	Meal Components and Quantities  Offer versus Serve  Dietary Specifications and Nutrier
	X	B. YES	X X	Meal Components and Quantities  Offer versus Serve  Dietary Specifications and Nutrier
	X	B. YES	X X	Meal Components and Quantities  Offer versus Serve  Dietary Specifications and Nutrier
	X	B. YES	X X	Meal Components and Quantities  Offer versus Serve  Dietary Specifications and Nutrier

X		C. School Nutrition Environment			
		YES	NO		
		X		Food Safety	
	X		Local School Wellness Policy		
			X	Competitive Foods	
		X		Other	
		Finding(s) Details:  1) Hazard Analysis Critical Control Point Policy was not up-to-date with the 2013 Food Code.			
		2) The Wellness Policy was not updated as prescribed by the Healthy, Hunger-Free Kids Act of 2010.			
		Brindlee Mountain Elementary School was not in compliance with Smart Snack Policy requirements.			
		4) The United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Nonprogram Food Revenue Tool indicated that the school food authority needed additional funds to be in compliance with USDA regulations.			
		5) The local education authority did not correctly record cash over and short balances for the year-end.			
		6) The Board approved Charge Meal Policy did not contain all required wording per regulations.			
	X				
		Finding(s) D	etails:		
		1)			
		2)			