STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY

Section 207 of the HHFKA amended section 22 of the NSLA (42 U.S.C. 1769c) to require State agencies to report the final results of the administrative review to the public in an accessible, easily understood manner in accordance with guidelines promulgated by the Secretary. Regulations at 7 CFR 210.18(m) requires the State agency to post a summary of the most recent final administrative review results for each SFA on the State agency's publicly available website no later than 30 days after the SA provides the final results of the administrative review to the SFA. The SA must also make a copy of the final administrative review report available to the public upon request.

School Food Authority	Name: St. Clair County Board of E	Education
Date of Administrative	Review (Entrance Conference Date):	March 6, 2017
Date review results we	re provided to the School Food Authority:	April 9, 2017
Date review summary	was publicly posted: December	13, 2018
compliance with the mea nutrition environment (in civil rights, and general	al patterns and the nutritional quality of school mancluding food safety, local school wellness polic	y, and competitive foods), compliance related to d include the written notification of review findings
General Program Part	-	
1. What Child Nutrition	Programs does the School Food Authority partic	cipate in? (Select all that apply)
	C. L. al D. al Car D. annual	
X		
<u> </u>		
	Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program Afterschool Snack	
	Special Milk Program	
	Seamless Summer Option	
2. Does the School Food	Authority operate under any Special Provisions	s? (Select all that apply)
	Community Eligibility Provision	
	Special Provision 1	
	Special Provision 2	

Special Provision 3

Review Findings

Were any find	ings identifie	d during the	review of this Sch	nool Food Authority?
	X	Yes		No

If yes, please indicate the areas and what issues were identified in the table below.

YES	NO	REVIEW FINDINGS			
X		A. Program Access and Reimbursement			
		YES	NO		
		X		Certification and Benefit Issuance	
			X	Verification	
			X	Meal Counting and Claiming	
		Finding(s) Details:			
		1) Two (2) applications (three (3) students) were approved and claimed at the incorrect benefit level.			
		2)			
X		B. Meal Patterns and Nutritional Quality			
		YES	NO		
			X	Meal Components and Quantities	
		X		Offer versus Serve	
			X	Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis	
	Finding(s) Details:			•	
		1) Signage near or at the beginning of the serving line indicate the requirements of a reimbursable meal.			
		2)			

X		C. School Nutrition Environment			
		YES	NO		
		X		Food Safety	
		X		Local School Wellness Policy	
		X		Competitive Foods	
		X		Other	
		Finding(s) Details:			
		1) The SFA's Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Points Plan was not updated to the 2013 food standards.			
		The Local School Wellness Policy did not have all of the required components.			
		3) Students had access to vending machines during and immediately after breakfast meal service.			
		4) The SFA did not separate/allocate expenditures related to the Summer Feeding Service Program and Child and Adult Care Food Program-At-risk Afterschool Meal Program.			
		5) The SFA did not follow proper procurement procedures in acquiring some goods and services.			
		6) Schools had snack items in the lunchroom and vending machines that did not meet Smart Snack requirements.			
	X	D. Civil Rights			
		Finding(s) Details:			
		1)			
		2)			