STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY

Section 207 of the Healthy Hunger-Free Kids Act (HHFKA) amended section 22 of the National School Lunch Act (NSLA) (42 U.S.C. 1769c) to require State agencies (SA) to report the final results of the administrative review to the public in an accessible, easily understood manner in accordance with guidelines promulgated by the Secretary. Regulations at 7 CFR 210.18(m) requires the State agency to post a summary of the most recent final administrative review results for each School Food Authority (SFA) on the State agency's publicly available website no later than 30 days after the SA provides the final results of the administrative review to the SFA. The SA must also make a copy of the final administrative review report available to the public upon request.

School Food Authority Name: Ephesu	s Academy	
Date of Administrative Review (Entrance Confere	ence Date):	December 12, 2022
Date review results were provided to the School F	ood Authority:	January 5, 2023
Date review summary was publicly posted:	May 5, 2023	

The review summary must cover access and reimbursement (including eligibility and certification review results), the SFA's compliance with the meal patterns and the nutritional quality of school meals, the results of the review of the school nutrition environment (including food safety, local school wellness policy, and competitive foods), compliance related to civil rights, and general program participation. At a minimum, this would include the written notification of review findings provided to the SFA's superintendent or equivalent as required at 7 CFR 210.18(i)(3).

General Program Participation

1. What Child Nutrition Programs does the School Food Authority participate in? (Select all that apply)

X	School Breakfast Program
X	National School Lunch Program
	Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program
X	Afterschool Snack
	Special Milk Program
	Seamless Summer Option

2. Does the School Food Authority operate under any Special Provisions? (Select all that apply)

X	Community Eligibility Provision
	Special Provision 1
	Special Provision 2
	Special Provision 3

Review Findings

3. Were any fin	ndings identifie	d during the re	view of this Scl	hool Food Authority?
	X	Yes		No

If yes, please indicate the areas and what issues were identified in the table below.

YES	NO		REV	TEW FINDINGS
X		A. Program Access and Reimbursement		Access and Reimbursement
		YES	NO	
				Certification and Benefit Issuance
				Verification
		X		Meal Counting and Claiming
		Finding(s) Det	tails:	•
				ocation where all meal trays and a la d counted was not established.
		3)		
X		В.	Meal Patte	erns and Nutritional Quality
		YES	NO	
		X		Meal Components and Quantities
				Offer versus Serve
				Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis

X	Finding(s) Details: 1) Insufficient quantities of fruit and vegetables were provided to the 9-12 age/grade groups during the review period and the day of review and only one variety of milk was offered. 2) 3) C. School Nutrition Environment YES NO		ring the review period and the day of f milk was offered. Sutrition Environment
	X		Food Safety
	X		Local School Wellness Policy
		X	Competitive Foods
	X		Other
	correct fiscal y 2) The USDA not completed documentation 3) A procurem were not prope 4) The wellnes the school web solicitation or 5) Documentat USDA hiring i the food safety 6) The adult/vi established by 7) No School I outreach occur 8) Temperatur logs were not be	rear (FY) end experient plan was not early reflected. It is policy and trivial end there were the end of the end end end end end end end end end en	Food Revenue Tool for FY 2022 was mable to provide supporting uses for FY 2022. In on file and purchasing procedures the ennial assessment were missing from was no documentation of public tings regarding policy update. In provided currently to support the CNP director were met and that the to be completed. In as set below the required amount
	Provision. 10) The Afters first four week on one day dur meal count door	school Snack Pr s of operation, ring the review cument utilized	rogram was not monitored within the an insufficient quantity was provided period, Also, it was identified that the during the snack service was a tally int-of sale software.
X	D. Civil Right	s	

Finding(s) Details:
1) The SFA did not provide a public release prior to the beginning of the school year.
The SFA does not have current procedures related to Civil Rights complaints.
3) The SFA website did not have the correct nondiscrimination statement (NDS).