STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY

Section 207 of the Healthy Hunger-Free Kids Act (HHFKA) amended section 22 of the National School Lunch Act (NSLA) (42 U.S.C. 1769c) to require State agencies (SA) to report the final results of the administrative review to the public in an accessible, easily understood manner in accordance with guidelines promulgated by the Secretary. Regulations at 7 CFR 210.18(m) requires the State agency to post a summary of the most recent final administrative review results for each School Food Authority (SFA) on the State agency's publicly available website no later than 30 days after the SA provides the final results of the administrative review to the SFA. The SA must also make a copy of the final administrative review report available to the public upon request.

School Food Authority Name: Holy Famil	y Cristo Rey	
Date of Administrative Review (Entrance Conference	ce Date):	November 28, 2022
Date review results were provided to the School Food Authority:		November 30, 2022
Date review summary was publicly posted:	May 5, 2023	

The review summary must cover access and reimbursement (including eligibility and certification review results), the SFA's compliance with the meal patterns and the nutritional quality of school meals, the results of the review of the school nutrition environment (including food safety, local school wellness policy, and competitive foods), compliance related to civil rights, and general program participation. At a minimum, this would include the written notification of review findings provided to the SFA's superintendent or equivalent as required at 7 CFR 210.18(i)(3).

General Program Participation

1. What Child Nutrition Programs does the School Food Authority participate in? (Select all that apply)

X	School Breakfast Program
X	National School Lunch Program
	Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program
	Afterschool Snack
	Special Milk Program
	Seamless Summer Option

2. Does the School Food Authority operate under any Special Provisions? (Select all that apply)

Community Eligibility Provision
Special Provision 1
Special Provision 2
Special Provision 3

Review Findings

3. Were any find	ings identifie	d during the	review of this Scl	hool Food Authority?
	X	Yes		No

If yes, please indicate the areas and what issues were identified in the table below.

YES	NO	REVIEW FINDINGS		
X		A. Program Access and Reimbursement		
		YES	NO	
		X		Certification and Benefit Issuance
		X		Verification
		X		Meal Counting and Claiming
		Finding(s) I	Details:	
		 All applications were not correctly classified, approved or denied. Documentation did not confirm a review took place and benefits remained incorrect after verification took place; No documentation to support adverse letter was sent or benefits had been decreased; Verification notification was an email and does not follow the "We Must Check" Letter. Meal counts for the review period were not consolidated and claimed correctly. 		
	X	B. Meal Patterns and Nutritional Quality		ns and Nutritional Quality
		YES	NO	
			X	Meal Components and Quantities
			X	Offer versus Serve
			X	Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis

		Finding(s) Details:			
		1) 2)			
		3)			
X		C. School Nutrition Environment			
		YES	NO		
		X		Food Safety	
			X	Local School Wellness Policy	
		X		Competitive Foods	
			3.7	0.1	
			X	Other	
		Finding(s) I		Other	
		1) Food	Details: Safety plan was n	ot implemented in accordance with rage violations were observed	
		1) Food FNS require	Details: Safety plan was n ements; Food Stor	ot implemented in accordance with	
		 Food FNS require Buy A 	Details: Safety plan was n ements; Food Stor merican food pro	ot implemented in accordance with rage violations were observed	
		 Food FNS require Buy A 	Details: Safety plan was n ements; Food Stor merican food pro	ot implemented in accordance with rage violations were observed ducts was violated	
X		1) Food FNS require 2) Buy A 3) SFA i	Details: Safety plan was n ements; Food Stor merican food pro	ot implemented in accordance with rage violations were observed ducts was violated	
X		1) Food FNS require 2) Buy A 3) SFA i	Details: Safety plan was nements; Food Stormerican food pros not in complian D.	ot implemented in accordance with rage violations were observed ducts was violated ce with Smart Snack requirements.	
X		1) Food FNS require 2) Buy A 3) SFA i 4)	Details: Safety plan was nements; Food Stormerican food proson of in complian D. Details:	ot implemented in accordance with rage violations were observed ducts was violated ce with Smart Snack requirements.	