#### **Piedmont City Board of Education**

#### STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY

Section 207 of the Healthy Hunger-Free Kids Act (HHFKA) amended section 22 of the National School Lunch Act (NSLA) (42 U.S.C. 1769c) to require State agencies (SA) to report the final results of the administrative review to the public in an accessible, easily understood manner in accordance with guidelines promulgated by the Secretary. Regulations at 7 CFR 210.18(m) requires the State agency to post a summary of the most recent final administrative review results for each School Food Authority (SFA) on the State agency's publicly available website no later than 30 days after the SA provides the final results of the administrative review to the SFA. The SA must also make a copy of the final administrative review report available to the public upon request.

**School Food Authority Name: Piedmont City Board of Education** 

Date of Administrative Review (Entrance Conference Date): March 27, 2023

Date review results were provided to the School Food Authority: March 29, 2023

Date review summary was publicly posted:

June 19, 2023

The review summary must cover access and reimbursement (including eligibility and certification review results), the SFA's compliance with the meal patterns and the nutritional quality of school meals, the results of the review of the school nutrition environment (including food safety, local school wellness policy, and competitive foods), compliance related to civil rights, and general program participation. At a minimum, this would include the written notification of review findings provided to the SFA's superintendent or equivalent as required at 7 CFR 210.18(i)(3).

# **General Program Participation**

1. What Child Nutrition Programs does the SFA participate in? (Select all that apply)

X	School Breakfast Program
X	National School Lunch Program
	Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program
	Afterschool Snack
	Special Milk Program
	Seamless Summer Option

2. Does the School Food Authority operate under any Special Provisions? (Select all that apply)

Community Eligibility Provision
Special Provision 1
Special Provision 2
Special Provision 3

### **REVIEW FINDINGS**

3. Were any findings identified during the review of this School Food Authority?

YES	NO
X	

If yes, please indicate the areas and what issues were identified in the table below.

YES	NO	REVIEW FINDINGS
X		A. Program Access and Reimbursement
YES	NO	AREAS
	X	Certification and Benefit Issuance
	X	Verification
X		Meal Counting and Claiming

# **Finding(s) Details:**

1. School's Meal Counting Point of Sale/service (POS) was inadequate for meeting the requirements as listed in 7 CFR 210.8.

YES	NO	REVIEW FINDINGS
	X	B. Meal Patterns and Nutritional Quality
YES	NO	AREAS
	X	Meal Components and Quantities
	X	Offer versus Serve
	X	Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis

# **Finding(s) Details:**

### **REVIEW FINDINGS (continued)**

YES	NO	REVIEW FINDINGS
X		C. School Nutrition Environment
YES	NO	AREAS
X		Food Safety
	X	Local School Wellness Policy
	X	Competitive Foods
X		Other

# **Finding(s) Details:**

- 1. Review of the SFA's food safety plan revealed the Alabama Food Code was not referenced in the HACCP plan. In addition, the HACCP plan was not followed as observed during the onsite school review.
- 2. SFA did not comply with the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) and Alabama Department of Education (ALSDE) SA's fiscal management fund accounting requirements for completing the Child Nutrition Program Local Education Agency (LEA) Reports.
- 3. Procurement procedures were not in accordance with federal, state, and local regulations.
- 4. SFA was did not comply with the Professional Standards training regulations in 7 CFR 210.30.

YES	NO	REVIEW FINDINGS
	X	D. Civil Rights

# Finding(s) Details: