## LEA Special Education Performance Profile

## Federal Fiscal Year 2020 (School Year 2020-2021)

The Alabama LEA Special Education Performance Profiles for SY 2020-2021 contain data for each LEA that was reported in the federal fiscal year (FFY) 2020 Annual Performance Report submitted to the Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) in February 2022. All personally identifiable information (PII) has been masked for public reporting, as is required by the *Family Education Rights and Privacy Act* (FERPA) according to the following legend:

\* Indicates that the number of students in this subpopulation was <=10.

<1% Indicates that the percentage is between 0.00 and 0.99.

## SPP/APR Indicator Data -- Dallas County

Indicators <sup>1</sup>	FFY 2020 State Target	FFY 2020 State Data	State Met Target?	FFY 2020 LEA Data	LEA Met State Target?	
			(Yes or No)		(Yes or No)	
Indicator 1: Graduation (with a regular diploma)	71.69%	73.31%	Yes	80.65%	Yes	
Indicator 2: Drop Out	6.29%	4.07%	Yes	*	Yes	
Indicator 3a: Participation for Children with IEPs						
4 <sup>th</sup> grade – Reading	95.00%	95.03%	Yes	96.67%	Yes	
4 <sup>th</sup> grade – Math	95.00%	94.77%	No	96.67%	Yes	
8 <sup>th</sup> grade – Reading	95.00%	90.69%	No	95.35%	Yes	
8 <sup>th</sup> grade – Math	95.00%	90.17%	No	95.35%	Yes	
High School – Reading	95.00%	77.43%	No	78.13%	No	
High School – Math	95.00%	85.75%	No	87.50%	No	
Indicator 3b: Proficiency (Grade Level Academic Achievement Standards)						
4 <sup>th</sup> grade – Reading	19.09%	19.09%	Yes	*	No	
4 <sup>th</sup> grade – Math	8.36%	8.36%	Yes	<1%	No	
8 <sup>th</sup> grade – Reading	11.83%	11.83%	Yes	<1%	No	
8 <sup>th</sup> grade – Math	1.81%	1.81%	Yes	<1%	No	
High School – Reading	4.45%	4.45%	Yes	<1%	No	
High School – Math	2.74%	2.74%	Yes	<1%	No	
Indicator 3c: Proficiency (Alternate Academic Achievement Standards)						
4 <sup>th</sup> grade – Reading	24.57%	24.57%	Yes	*	Yes	





## SPP/APR Indicator Data -- Dallas County

Indicators1	FFY 2020 State Target	FFY 2020 State Data	State Met Target? (Yes or No)	FFY 2020 LEA Data	LEA Met State Target? (Yes or No)
4 <sup>th</sup> grade – Math	19.81%	19.81%	Yes	*	Yes
8 <sup>th</sup> grade – Reading	23.94%	23.94%	Yes	*	Yes
8 <sup>th</sup> grade – Math	13.44%	13.44%	Yes	<1%	No
High School – Reading	37.01%	37.01%	Yes	*	Yes
High School – Math	28.84%	28.84%	Yes	*	Yes
Indicator 3d: Gap in Proficiency (Grade Level Academic Achievement Standards)					
4 <sup>th</sup> grade – Reading	32.79	32.79	Yes	15.15	Yes
4 <sup>th</sup> grade – Math	15.55	15.55	Yes	0.76	Yes
8 <sup>th</sup> grade – Reading	39.84	39.84	Yes	40.44	No
8 <sup>th</sup> grade – Math	12.45	12.45	Yes	1.64	Yes
High School – Reading	23.81	23.81	Yes	5.88	Yes
High School – Math	20.61	20.61	Yes	3.53	Yes
Indicator 4: Suspension/Expulsion					
Indicator 4a: Suspension/Expulsion (where policies, procedures, or practices contribute to significant discrepancy)	2.18%	1.41%	Yes	<1%	Yes
Indicator 4b: Suspension/Expulsion (by Race/Ethnicity) (where policies, procedures, or practices contribute to significant discrepancy)	0.00%	0.00%	Yes		Yes
Indicator 5: Education Environments (School Age)					
Indicator 5a: Inside regular class $\geq$ 80% of the day	83.59%	83.92%	Yes	89.59%	Yes
Indicator 5b: Inside regular class < 40% of the day	7.19%	7.21%	No	*	Yes
Indicator 5c: In separate schools, residential facilities, homebound/hospital	2.46%	2.26%	Yes	*	Yes
Indicator 6: Preschool Environments					
Indicator 6a: Regular early childhood program	51.92%	52.70%	Yes	*	Yes
Indicator 6b: Separate special education class, school, or facility	5.30%	4.28%	Yes	<1%	Yes
Indicator 6c: Home	2.71%	2.71%	Yes	<1%	Yes
Indicator 7: Preschool Outcomes					
Indicator 7a1: Positive social-emotional skills, Children who increased rate of growth	93.09%	94.27%	Yes	100%	Yes
Indicator 7a2: Positive social-emotional skills, Children functioning within age expectations	76.30%	76.08%	No	75.00%	No
Indicator 7b1: Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, Children who increased rate of growth	92.31%	92.96%	Yes	100%	Yes

Indicators1	FFY 2020 State Target	FFY 2020 State Data	State Met Target? (Yes or No)	FFY 2020 LEA Data	LEA Met State Target? (Yes or No)
Indicator 7b2: Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills, Children functioning within age expectations	57.20%	59.44%	Yes	50.00%	No
Indicator 7c1: Use of appropriate behavior, Children who increased rate of growth	91.57%	92.13%	Yes	100%	Yes
Indicator 7c2: Use of appropriate behavior, Children functioning within age expectations	75.40%	83.16%	Yes	75.00%	No
Indicator 8: Parent Involvement	76.99%	72.29%	No	77.92%	Yes
Alabama Parent Survey Response Rate		22.46%		22.33%	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation (that is the result of inappropriate identification)	0.00%	3.50%	No		Yes
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation in Specific Disability Categories (that is the result of inappropriate identification)	0.00%	7.09%	No		Yes
Indicator 11: Child Find	100%	99.60%	No	100%	Yes
Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition	100%	99.54%	No	100%	Yes
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition	100%	99.98%	No	100%	Yes
Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes					
Indicator 14a: Enrolled in higher education	22.54%	22.54%	Yes	Not in Sample	N/A
Indicator 14b: Enrolled in higher education or competitively employed	63.78%	64.75%	Yes	Not in Sample	N/A
Indicator 14c: Enrolled in higher education, in some other postsecondary education, or competitively employed	71.17%	71.17%	Yes	Not in Sample	N/A
Alabama Post-School Outcomes Survey Response Rate		69.25%		N/A	

1. For more information about the indicators, refer to the Part B SPP/APR Measurement Table (FFY 2020) located at