## **LEA Special Education Performance Profile**

Federal Fiscal Year 2021 (School Year 2021-2022)

The Alabama LEA Special Education Performance Profiles for SY 2021-2022 contain data for each LEA that was reported in the federal fiscal year (FFY) 2021 Annual Performance Report submitted to the Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) in February 2023. All personally identifiable information (PII) has been masked for public reporting, as is required by the *Family Education Rights and Privacy Act* (FERPA) according to the following legend:

- \* Indicates that the number of students in this subpopulation was <=10.
- <1% Indicates that the percentage is between 0.00 and 0.99.

## SPP/APR Indicator Data - Elba City

Indicators <sup>1</sup>	FFY 2021 State Target	FFY 2021 State Data	State Met Target? (Yes or No)	FFY 2021 LEA Data	LEA Met State Target? (Yes or No)	
Indicator 1: Graduation (with a regular diploma)	73.56%	74.90%	Yes	*	No	
Indicator 2: Drop Out	6.19%	5.70%	Yes	*	No	
Indicator 3a: Participation for Children with IEPs						
4 <sup>th</sup> grade – Reading	95.00%	98.76%	Yes	*	Yes	
4 <sup>th</sup> grade – Math	95.00%	98.70%	Yes	*	Yes	
8 <sup>th</sup> grade – Reading	95.00%	96.85%	Yes	*	Yes	
8 <sup>th</sup> grade – Math	95.00%	96.61%	Yes	*	Yes	
High School – Reading	95.00%	83.75%	No	*	Yes	
High School – Math	95.00%	92.66%	No	*	Yes	
Indicator 3b: Proficiency (Grade Level Academic Achievement Standards)						
4 <sup>th</sup> grade – Reading	19.09%	18.19%	No	<1%	No	
4 <sup>th</sup> grade – Math	8.36%	9.93%	Yes	<1%	No	
8 <sup>th</sup> grade – Reading	11.83%	12.50%	Yes	<1%	No	
8 <sup>th</sup> grade – Math	1.81%	2.33%	Yes	<1%	No	
High School – Reading	4.45%	4.93%	Yes	<1%	No	
High School – Math	2.74%	2.71%	No	<1%	No	
Indicator 3c: Proficiency (Alternate Academic Achievement Standards)						
4 <sup>th</sup> grade – Reading	24.57%	19.67%	No	<1%	No	





## SPP/APR Indicator Data – Elba City

Indicators <sup>1</sup>	FFY 2021 State Target	FFY 2021 State Data	State Met Target? (Yes or No)	FFY 2021 LEA Data	LEA Met State Target? (Yes or No)
4 <sup>th</sup> grade – Math	19.81%	19.19%	No	<1%	No
8 <sup>th</sup> grade – Reading	23.94%	22.38%	No	<1%	No
8 <sup>th</sup> grade – Math	13.44%	6.16%	No	<1%	No
High School – Reading	37.01%	39.04%	Yes	<1%	No
High School – Math	28.84%	31.52%	Yes	<1%	No
Indicator 3d: Gap in Proficiency (Grade Level Academic Achievement Standards)					
4 <sup>th</sup> grade – Reading	29.51	35.09	No	43.59	No
4 <sup>th</sup> grade – Math	13.99	21.69	No	33.33	No
8 <sup>th</sup> grade – Reading	35.86	40.68	No	35.14	Yes
8 <sup>th</sup> grade – Math	11.21	16.04	No	0	Yes
High School – Reading	21.43	24.49	No	21.21	Yes
High School – Math	18.55	20.73	No	11.43	Yes
Indicator 4: Suspension/Expulsion					
Indicator 4a: Suspension/Expulsion (where policies, procedures, or practices contribute to significant discrepancy)	2.18%	0.00%	Yes	<1%	Yes
Indicator 4b: Suspension/Expulsion by Race/Ethnicity (where policies, procedures, or practices contribute to significant discrepancy)	0.00%	0.00%	Yes		Yes
Indicator 5: Education Environments (School Age)					
Indicator 5a: Inside regular class ≥ 80% of the day	83.92%	83.81%	No	90.00%	Yes
Indicator 5b: Inside regular class < 40% of the day	6.96%	7.39%	No	*	Yes
Indicator 5c: In separate schools, residential facilities, homebound/hospital	2.26%	2.09%	Yes	<1%	Yes
Indicator 6: Preschool Environments					•
Indicator 6a: Regular early childhood program	52.70%	54.59%	Yes	*	Yes
Indicator 6b: Separate special education class, school, or facility	4.28%	3.49%	Yes	<1%	Yes
Indicator 6c: Home	2.71%	2.52%	Yes	<1%	Yes
Indicator 7: Preschool Outcomes	-		•		-
Indicator 7a1: Positive social-emotional skills; children who increased rate of growth	93.09%	93.29%	Yes	*	No
Indicator 7a2: Positive social-emotional skills; children functioning within age expectations	76.30%	76.89%	Yes	*	No
Indicator 7b1: Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills; children who increased rate of growth	92.31%	92.23%	No	*	Yes

## SPP/APR Indicator Data – Elba City

Indicators <sup>1</sup>	FFY 2021 State Target	FFY 2021 State Data	State Met Target? (Yes or No)	FFY 2021 LEA Data	LEA Met State Target? (Yes or No)
Indicator 7b2: Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills; children functioning within age expectations	57.20%	61.42%	Yes	<1%	No
Indicator 7c1: Use of appropriate behavior; children who increased rate of growth	91.57%	91.93%	Yes	*	No
Indicator 7c2: Use of appropriate behavior; children functioning within age expectations	75.40%	83.31%	Yes	*	No
Indicator 8: Parent Involvement	77.24%	71.37%	No	76.56%	No
Alabama Parent Survey Response Rate		21.61%		70.65%	
Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation (that is the result of inappropriate identification)	0.00%	4.14%	No		Yes
Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation in Specific Disability Categories (that is the result of inappropriate identification)	0.00%	6.25%	No		Yes
Indicator 11: Child Find	100%	99.74%	No	100%	Yes
Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition	100%	99.66%	No	No Data to Report	N/A
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition	100%	99.93%	No	100%	Yes
Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes					
Indicator 14a: Enrolled in higher education	22.54%	21.99%	No	Not in Sample	N/A
Indicator 14b: Enrolled in higher education or competitively employed	63.78%	57.83%	No	Not in Sample	N/A
Indicator 14c: Enrolled in higher education, in some other postsecondary education, or competitively employed	71.17%	68.12%	No	Not in Sample	N/A
Alabama Post-School Outcomes Survey Response Rate		65.84%		N/A	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1.</sup> For more information about the indicators, refer to the Part B SPP/APR Measurement Table (FFY 2020 –2025) located at