

Father Purcell Memorial dba Exceptional Children's Center

STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY

Section 207 of the Healthy Hunger-Free Kids Act (HHFKA) amended section 22 of the National School Lunch Act (NSLA) (42 U.S.C. 1769c) to require State agencies (SA) to report the final results of the administrative review to the public in an accessible, easily understood manner in accordance with guidelines promulgated by the Secretary. Regulations at 7 CFR 210.18(m) requires the State agency to post a summary of the most recent final administrative review results for each School Food Authority (SFA) on the State agency's publicly available website no later than 30 days after the SA provides the final results of the administrative review to the SFA. The SA must also make a copy of the final administrative review report available to the public upon request.

School Food Authority: **Father Purcell Memorial**

Date of Administrative Review (Entrance Conference Date): **September 24, 2024**

Date review results were provided to the School Food Authority: **October 29, 2024**

Date review summary was publicly posted: **November 29, 2024**

The review summary must cover access and reimbursement (including eligibility and certification review results), the SFA's compliance with the meal patterns and the nutritional quality of school meals, the results of the review of the school nutrition environment (including food safety, local school wellness policy, and competitive foods), compliance related to civil rights, and general program participation. At a minimum, this would include the written notification of review findings provided to the SFA's superintendent or equivalent as required at 7 CFR 210.18(i)(3).

General Program Participation

What Child Nutrition Programs does the SFA participate in?

| | |
|---|-----------------------------------|
| X | School Breakfast Program |
| X | National School Lunch Program |
| | Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program |
| X | Afterschool Snack |
| | Special Milk Program |
| | Seamless Summer Option |

Does the School Food Authority operate under any Special Provisions?

| | |
|--|---------------------------------|
| | Community Eligibility Provision |
| | Special Provision 1 |
| | Special Provision 2 |
| | Special Provision 3 |

Review Findings

Were any findings identified during the review of this School Food Authority?

| YES | NO |
|-----|----|
| X | |

| YES | NO | REVIEW FINDINGS |
|-----|----|--|
| X | | A. Program Access and Reimbursement |
| YES | NO | AREAS |
| | X | Certification and Benefit Issuance |
| | X | Verification |
| X | | Meal Counting and Claiming |

Finding(s) Details:

1. School Food Authority's meals claimed were less than meals served for breakfast and lunch.

| YES | NO | REVIEW FINDINGS |
|-----|----|---|
| X | | B. Meal Patterns and Nutritional Quality |
| YES | NO | AREAS |
| X | | Meal Components and Quantities |
| | X | Offer versus Serve |
| | X | Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis |

Finding(s) Details:

1. School Food Authority was not in compliance with the meal pattern for 9-12th age/grade group.

| YES | NO | REVIEW FINDINGS |
|-----|----|--|
| X | | C. School Nutrition Environment |
| YES | NO | AREAS |
| X | | Food Safety |
| X | | Local School Wellness Policy |
| | X | Competitive Foods |
| X | | Other |

Finding(s) Details:

1. SFA's written food safety plan and Buy American provision was not in compliance.
2. SFA's Wellness Policy was inadequate, and the Triennial Assessment was not completed.
3. SFA was not in compliance with the professional standards requirements.
4. SFA did not have a written procurement plan as required.

| YES | NO | REVIEW FINDINGS |
|-----|----|-----------------|
| X | | D. Civil Rights |

Finding(s) Details:

1. SFA was not in compliance with Civil Rights Complaint procedures.